Nuclear magnetic resonance measurements of velocity distributions in an ultrasonically vibrated granular bed
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We report the results of nuclear magnetic resonance imaging experiments on granular beds of mustard grains fluidized by vertical vibration at ultrasonic frequencies. The variation of both granular temperature and packing fraction with height was measured within the three-dimensional cell for a range of vibration frequencies, amplitudes and numbers of grains. Small increases in vibration frequency were found—contrary to the predictions of classical ‘hard-sphere’ expressions for the energy flux through a vibrating boundary—to result in dramatic reductions in granular temperature. Numerical simulations of the grain–wall interactions, using experimentally determined Hertzian contact stiffness coefficients, showed that energy flux drops significantly as the vibration period approaches the grain–wall contact time. The experiments thus demonstrate the need for new models for ‘soft-sphere’ boundary conditions at ultrasonic frequencies.
1. Introduction

Rapid flows of granular media occur frequently in nature (for example, avalanches and planetary ring formation) and in technology (for example, the transport of powders in the chemical and pharmaceutical industries). Improved understanding of devastating phenomena such as avalanches, and improved powder-based manufacturing techniques, requires a good understanding of the constitutive behaviour of granular materials in the inertial regime. Some striking similarities with the behaviour of a fluid in thermal equilibrium have been observed, e.g. in its microscopic structure [1], self-diffusion properties [2] and convection behaviour [3], despite the fact that dissipation due to collisions means a source of energy is required to sustain the motion and granular gases are therefore generally far from equilibrium. Theories have been developed relating variables such as packing fraction, granular temperature, shear rate and heat flux for particular idealized situations such as monodisperse systems as well as some binary systems of hard spherical particles [4,5].

However, there have been opposing views on the theoretical basis for some even relatively simple phenomena. For example, granular temperature would intuitively be expected to reduce with height above a vibrating base owing to the inelastic grain–grain collisions, whereas in practice an upturn in granular temperature near the top of the bed has been observed both experimentally [6–8] and numerically [9–11]. One possible explanation [10,11] arises from the predicted presence of a term in the Fourier heat law related to density gradient, in addition to the usual temperature gradient term [12–15]. An alternative explanation for the upturn, however, requires no such density-gradient term but instead is based on viscous heating associated with compressional pressure waves induced by the moving boundary [16].

Experimental studies on vibrated granular gases have typically used a shaker operating at a few tens of hertz (e.g. [7,16]). In this paper, we investigate the effects of increasing the vibration frequency by some two to three orders of magnitude (to a few tens of kilohertz) through the use of high-power ultrasound technology. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements are used to probe the time-averaged and through-thickness-averaged distributions of velocity and packing fraction within the three-dimensional bed.

The motivation for this work is threefold. Firstly, the low-frequency base of a conventional vibrated granular bed acts not only as a means to fluidize the bed but also as a source of pressure waves which can add complexity to the interpretation of the experiments [16]. In the example cited above, it is not clear whether the density-gradient term in the Fourier law or the pressure waves are the main cause of the temperature upturn. In order to avoid this complication, one requires a vibration period significantly shorter than the Enskog mean free time between collisions, \( \tau_E \). Figure 1 illustrates the point showing that this is indeed the case with ultrasound for typical experimental packing fractions and granular temperatures. As a result, one is left with diffusion as the primary heat transfer mechanism and one may therefore expect ultrasound sources to act as a more idealized boundary than low-frequency ones, similar to the thermal boundaries sometimes used in simulations.

Secondly, with ultrasound, overlap may occur between the vibration period and the grain–boundary contact time \( \tau_c \) as shown in figure 1. The latter typically ranges from a few microseconds to a few tens of microseconds depending on modulus, impact velocity and radius. The grain–boundary interactions may thus become sensitive to the constitutive and geometrical properties of the grains.

Finally, there are now several industrial applications of ultrasonically fluidized granular beds. In the pharmaceutical industry, inhalers have been developed in which ultrasound is used to fluidize a solid bed of dry powder [17]. In the case of shot peening, a process that introduces compressive residual stresses into metallic surfaces by repeated impacts with round particles, the particle motion can be sustained by impact with a second surface that is vibrating at frequencies of tens of kilohertz [18]. Improved knowledge of grain–boundary interactions at ultrasound frequencies therefore has the potential to optimize the performance of such processes.
The outline of the paper is as follows. The experimental set-up, validation of the cell behaviour and mechanical compression tests to characterize the elastic properties of the grains are introduced in §2. Section 3 describes the results of the NMR experiments in which the main cell parameters (number of grains, base velocity and base frequency) are varied systematically. In §4, the results of numerical simulations to estimate the effective coefficient of restitution between a grain and vibrating base are presented as part of a wider discussion on the frequency dependence of the results from §4, before some concluding remarks in §5.

2. Experimental set-up

(a) Granular material

Most NMR experiments on granular materials use naturally occurring grains such as poppy or mustard seeds, because the water or oil within them produce a strong NMR signal [16,19]. For this work, black mustard seeds were used on account of the relatively large diameter and narrow size range (diameter $= 2.30 \pm 0.20$ mm). The mean grain mass, $m$, was 6.47 mg. Although not required elsewhere in this paper, the grain–boundary coefficients of restitution were measured using high-speed photography as follows: mustard–poly(ether ether ketone) (PEEK), $0.71 \pm 0.03$; mustard–poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), $0.74 \pm 0.02$. The values quoted represent the mean, and standard deviation in the mean, resulting from 10 impacts each.

(b) Ultrasound source

The ultrasonic vibration source was designed in-house, based on well-established high-power ultrasonic engineering principles [20] and customized to the dimensions of the NMR spectrometer. Figure 2 shows a cross section through the source. Two back-to-back lead zirconate titanate crystal rings (PZT 806, 54 mm diameter and with a 20 mm diameter central hole, manufactured by Morgan Electro Ceramics, UK), driven by a high-voltage sinusoidal waveform, provide the acoustic energy. A steel backplate and aluminium cylinder above, each one quarter of a wave long at the designed resonant frequency of 20 kHz, provide a composite structure with the maximum displacement being produced at the top of the aluminium plate. An exponentially tapered half-wavelength aluminium sonotrode then amplifies the motion further due to the reduction in cross-sectional area with height.

In order to transmit the ultrasonic energy from the end of the sonotrode into the measurement volume of the NMR spectrometer, it is necessary to use a waveguide. A set of 18 mm diameter cylindrical rods, manufactured from the polymer PEEK and joined by stainless steel studding,
Figure 2. Cross section through ultrasonic source.

were used for this purpose. PEEK was chosen over metals, for example aluminium, to avoid distortions in the NMR signal, which can occur when conductors are near the measurement volume owing to eddy currents induced by the strong time-varying magnetic field gradients. Aluminium waveguides were also found to dissipate a significant fraction of the ultrasonic power when placed in the spectrometer, which is likely to be due to eddy currents induced in the aluminium rod by the travelling ultrasonic waves and the strong static magnetic field. The length of each section of the waveguide was made of an integral multiple of half the wavelength, giving a total waveguide length of 520 mm. The end of the last waveguide, with which the grains made contact, was machined to a final diameter of 14 mm. The grains were contained within an open-ended cylindrical tube of internal diameter 14 mm made of PMMA supported on the outside of the waveguide with a rubber ‘O’ ring.

A laser vibrometer (Polytec OFV512 with OFV3001 controller) was used to monitor the surface velocity of the vibrating base. A peak velocity of 4 m s$^{-1}$ was achievable at the design frequency of 20 kHz, although lower values than this were used in the experiments. Measurements were made every millimetre across the diameter of the cell base to test for uniformity of the vibration amplitude: the variation was found to be within the range ±2% for all the frequencies tested.

Figure 3 shows the experimental arrangement, with the ultrasonic source and waveguide positioned within the NMR spectrometer. The vibrometer beam was directed vertically downwards, through the open cell top, and onto the vibrating cell base by a mirror to allow in situ monitoring of the vibration amplitude.

(c) Grain–boundary force–deflection curves

The simulations of the grain–base interactions described in §4 require an appropriate force–deflection model. The quasi-static mechanical response to diametral compression loading of a
set of 12 grains was therefore measured using an Instron testing machine with 50 N load cell. Flat anvils were machined from PEEK to mimic the grain–base contact interactions during the ultrasonic fluidization experiments.

Figure 4a shows a typical force–deflection curve for one of the tested grains. The response is approximately linear, up to a force of around 16 N at which point a sudden load drop indicates that grain fracture has occurred. At lower loads, however, the response is nonlinear. Figure 4b shows the force ($P$) versus deflection ($\delta_z$) curves over the range 0–0.1 N, together with the best-fit theoretical curve for a Hertzian contact,

$$ P = K\delta_z^{3/2}, $$

for three of the grains (the first, sixth and twelfth in order of increasing stiffness, $K$). Over this force range, the average best-fit $K$ value over the 12 grains was $1.47 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-3/2}$ with a standard deviation of $4.61 \times 10^6$ N m$^{-3/2}$. The $\delta_z$ values for the horizontal axis of figure 4b were calculated as one-half of the crosshead displacement (to take account of the two contacts in a diametral compression test, as opposed to a single contact for the Hertzian theory) and a shift of the local origin to align it with the onset of loading.

In order to decide which force–deflection law is appropriate to the ultrasonically fluidized granular medium, i.e. the high-load approximately linear response of figure 4a or the low-load Hertzian response of figure 4b, one needs to estimate the maximum contact force during a typical impact. Assuming that Hertzian impact theory is applicable [21], the maximum contact force $P_m$ during an elastic impact for a grain with mass $m$ and incoming velocity $V_z$ is

$$ P_m = K^{2/5} \left( \frac{5mV_z^2}{4} \right)^{3/5}. $$

Substituting the values $K = 1.47 \times 10^7$ N m$^{-3/2}$, $m = 6.47$ mg, and a typical impact velocity $V_z$ of 0.2 m s$^{-1}$ results in a $P_m$ value of 0.094 N. The force range shown in figure 4b is therefore applicable to the current situation, thus justifying the use of equation (2.2) and the subsequent analysis in §4.

(d) Nuclear magnetic resonance acquisition

A novel spin-echo velocity profiling technique was previously developed by the authors and described in [16,22]. This allows velocity distributions within a three-dimensional cell to be
measured as a function of both vertical position (z) and phase of a low-frequency vibration. In this study, the vibration frequency is too high to allow time-resolved measurements of velocity and temperature; the pulse sequence was therefore not triggered in any way.

The pulse sequence used in this study was that previously described in [22]. We chose here the vertical velocity component (v_z), because the granular temperature is normally highest in this direction, although other components could be measured equally easily at the expense of additional acquisition time. The measured signal integrates through the thickness of the cell; in effect, we traded spatial resolution in the horizontal directions (x or y) for the z and v_z resolution that are the primary focus of this paper. Previous studies on two- and three-dimensional vertically vibrated beds using high-speed photography and positron emission particle tracking [3,7] have demonstrated that the main gradients in temperature and packing fraction occur along the z direction, with relatively minor perturbations in the horizontal directions.

All NMR experiments were carried out using a Bruker Biospin DMX 300 spectrometer operating at a 1H frequency of 300.13 MHz. Spatial resolution was achieved using a three-orthogonal-axis gradient system capable of producing a maximum gradient strength of 1 T m⁻¹. The field of view in the z-direction was 40.0 mm and the number of data points acquired was 128, thereby giving an axial pixel resolution of δz = 313 µm.

A 25 mm 1H birdcage resonator was used to excite and detect the magnetization from the mustard seeds, and the 1H 90° pulse length was 32 µs. The NMR pulse sequence of Mantle et al. [22] has two distinct advantages over a single-spin or stimulated-echo velocity profile sequence (used by, for example, Huan et al. [8]): (i) it refocuses magnetization dephasing owing to constant...

Figure 4. Force–deflection curves for a single grain compressed between two PEEK anvils. (a) High force range; (b) low force range with experimental curves (dashed lines) and best-fit Hertzian curves (solid lines) for three grains.
motion in a linear background gradient [23] and (ii) the readout, or spatially encoding, gradients are also compensated for velocity artefacts. Ramped gradients were used throughout to minimize extra spin dephasing from magnetic fields created by eddy currents owing to gradient switching. The gradient ramp-up and ramp-down times were 100 µs. The total echo time TE was 2.14 ms. Velocity encoding was achieved by using 64 equal gradient increments from $-0.8$ to $+0.8 \text{ T m}^{-1}$. The length of the velocity encoding gradient, $\delta$, was 455 µs. The delay between velocity encoding gradient pulses, $\Delta_1$, was 1.20 ms. Sixty-four scans for each velocity encoding increment, at a recycle time of 365 ms, were averaged to obtain a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio. Hence, the total experimental time for a single vibration frequency was approximately 25 min. Following acquisition, the raw data were zero-filled to 256 data points in the velocity encode dimension, and then a two-dimensional Fourier transform was applied to each set of data to give spatially encoded velocity profiles, denoted here by $S(v_z, z)$. These parameters enable the determination of velocities within a range $-0.875$ to $+0.875 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, with a resolution of 0.007 m s$^{-1}$.

3. Experimental results

Three groups of experiments were carried out. In the first, the number of grains, $N_g$, and frequency of the ultrasound, $f$, were fixed while the peak base velocity, $V_b$, was varied. In the second, $N_g$ was varied while the other parameters remained fixed. Finally, in the third group, the ultrasound frequency was varied together with the displacement amplitude so as to maintain a constant peak base velocity. This was possible because although the ultrasound source was designed for a fixed frequency, it was found to have significant resonances at other frequencies.

(a) Effect of varying the base velocity

Three different base velocities were used: $V_b = 0.33, 0.50$ and $0.74 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Figure 5 shows the measured time-averaged raw signal $S(v_z, z)$ for each with $N_g = 40$ and $f = 11.1 \text{ kHz}$. Within each image, the central ‘blob’ is the signal from the mustard grains, and the vertical and horizontal axes represent, respectively, $z$ (height above the base) and $v_z$ (the vertical velocity component). As this signal represents the output from a two-dimensional Fourier transform without scaling or normalization, the units for the signal in figure 5 and other similar figures are arbitrary.

A slice through an image at a given height provides a signal proportional to the probability density function (PDF) for $v_z$ at that height. Figure 6 shows three examples of such PDFs from figure 5c at heights $z = 4, 8$ and $16 \text{ mm}$. Superimposed on the experimental PDFs are Maxwellian distributions produced by fitting the curves defined by

$$
S_f(v_z, z) = \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(v_z - \langle v_z \rangle)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) + C_2
$$

(3.1)

to $S(v_z, z)$ on a row by row basis. The parameters $C_1$ (the peak amplitude), $C_2$ (the average signal offset due for example to background noise), $\sigma$ (the peak width) and $\langle v_z \rangle$ (the velocity offset) are in general functions of $z$. The closest match between the experimental and best-fit curves is at high altitudes; this is to be expected because distortions to the PDF owing to interaction with the base have been largely randomized through intergrain collisions. As the altitude is reduced, progressively larger deviations are seen, notably the appearance of a ‘shoulder’ centred around $v_z = +0.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ in figure 6a. Such distortions were also noted in previous low-frequency experiments [16] and were explained as being due to the energetic particles that had just left the base in the $+v_z$ direction.

Profiles of the $z$-component of the granular temperature and the packing fraction may be estimated from the best-fit parameters as

$$
T_z(z) = \sigma^2(z)
$$

(3.2)

Figure 5. Effect of base velocity on an ultrasonically fluidized bed. Time-averaged signal \( S(v_z, z) \) with \( N_g = 40 \) and \( f = 11.1 \text{ kHz} \), at three base velocities \( V_b = 0.33 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) (a), \( 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) (b) and \( 0.74 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) (c). Horizontal and vertical axes represent \( v_z \) and \( z \) with units of \( \text{m s}^{-1} \) and m, respectively. The signal level is quantified (in arbitrary units) in the colour bar. (Online version in colour.)

Figure 6. 

\[
\eta(z) = \frac{N_g d^3}{6 R^2} \int_0^\infty C_1(z) dz,
\]

respectively, where \( d \) is the grain diameter and \( R \) the radius of the cell [16]. These profiles are shown in figure 7 for the three datasets from figure 5. The temperature profiles show only a weak dependence on height. There is a hint of an upturn in the two highest velocity profiles at high \( z \), although this is the region where the uncertainty is greatest owing to the lower signal levels. The packing fraction profiles are qualitatively consistent with previous studies [6,7,16], with a rapid increase to the maximum density a short distance above the base, and then an approximately exponential decay with \( z \) at higher altitudes.

A simple scaling relation to model the change in mean temperature of the bed with \( V_b \) and \( N_g \) was proposed in the 1990s as follows [7,24]:

\[
T \propto \frac{V_b^\alpha}{N_g^\beta},
\]

where \( V_b = A_0 \omega \), with \( A_0 \) the displacement amplitude of the vibration and \( \omega = 2\pi f \) its angular frequency, and \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are two empirically determined scaling exponents. Typical \( \alpha \) values reported in the literature range from 1.2 to 1.9 and \( \beta \) values from 0.3 to 0.8 [6].
Figure 6. Experimental velocity PDFs at heights of 4 mm (a), 8 mm (b) and 16 mm (c) (solid lines), together with Maxwellian least-squares fits (dotted lines). $f = 11.1 \, \text{kHz}, N_g = 40$ and $V_b = 0.74 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$.

A density-weighted average temperature was calculated from the temperature and packing fraction profiles and then plotted on logarithmic axes in figure 8a, in non-dimensional form, to allow an estimate of the scaling exponent $\alpha$ to be obtained for the ultrasonically vibrated bed. Non-dimensional temperature $T_z^*$ is defined as follows:

$$T_z^* = \frac{T_z}{g d}. \quad (3.5)$$

The best-fit value for $\alpha$ of 0.90 is somewhat lower than previously reported values from the literature at low frequency; however, the small number of data points plus the scatter about the best-fit line mean there is significant uncertainty in this parameter.

(b) Effect of varying the number of grains

Three experiments were carried out with the values $N_g = 20, 40$ and 60, the other main parameters being held constant ($f = 11.1 \, \text{kHz}$ and $V_b = 0.74 \, \text{m s}^{-1}$). Figure 9 shows the resulting signal $S(v_z, z)$ for the three cases. As more grains are added, both the height of the bed and the width of the velocity distribution are reduced. This can be understood qualitatively as a consequence of the increased number of dissipative grain–grain collisions that occur, on average, between the
Figure 7. Variation of (a) granular temperature $T_z$ and (b) packing fraction $\eta$ with height $z$ for three values of base velocity. $f = 11.1\text{kHz}$ and $N_g = 40$.

Figure 8. Effect of base velocity (a) and number of grains (b) on density-weighted mean non-dimensional granular temperature. $f = 11.1\text{kHz}$. 
energy-injecting grain–base collisions. The density-weighted average temperature, calculated as described in §3a, is plotted in figure 8b as a function of $N_g$. The straight line fit corresponds to a scaling exponent $\beta = 1.25$.

(c) Effect of varying the frequency of the base

The ultrasonic source had a resonance at 20.2 kHz, close to the design frequency of 20 kHz, but also at several additional frequencies including 11.1 and 17.7 kHz. $A_0$ was varied so as to keep $V_b$ constant at 0.74 m s$^{-1}$ for all three experiments. According to classical energy flux boundary conditions (e.g. [25]), the key parameter associated with the motion of the boundary is its RMS velocity. All three experiments should therefore have resulted in the same level of fluidization, if such classical formulae are applicable at ultrasonic frequencies.

It is clear from the results, however, presented as $S(v_z,z)$ in figure 10a–c, that there is a dramatic reduction in the granular temperature of the bed as $f$ is increased from 11.1 to 20.2 kHz. A possible explanation for this phenomenon is that at ultrasonic frequencies, the period of vibration $T = 1/f$ may become comparable to the contact time, $\tau_c$, whereas in the derivation of the boundary conditions, it is implicitly assumed that $\tau_c$ is sufficiently small to be neglected. The overlap between $T$ and typical $\tau_c$ values is illustrated in figure 1 with the other relevant time scales.

The duration of an elastic collision for a particle with incoming velocity $V_z$ is given by

$$\tau_c = 2.87 \left( \frac{m^2}{rE^2|V_z|} \right)^{1/5},$$

(3.6)
Figure 10. Effect of frequency on an ultrasonically fluidized bed. \( S(v_z, z) \) with \( N_g = 20, f = 11.1 \text{kHz} \) (a), 17.7 kHz (b) and 20.2 kHz (c), with peak base velocity \( V_b = 0.74 \text{m s}^{-1} \). (Online version in colour.)

where

\[
E^* = \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1 - \nu_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1 - \nu_2^2}{E_2}
\]

and \( r \) is the radius of the grain. Variables \( E \) and \( \nu \) represent Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio, respectively, with subscripts 1 and 2 referring to the grain and the boundary. In order to estimate \( \tau_c \) for the current situation, we therefore require a value for \( E^* \). The results of the experiments in §2.3 provide this information because \( E^* \) is related to the measured Hertzian stiffness coefficient, \( K \), as follows [21]:

\[
K = \frac{4}{3} \nu^{1/2} E^*.
\]

Combining equations (3.6) and (3.8) with the value \( K = 1.47 \times 10^7 \text{ N m}^{-3/2} \), and a typical impact velocity \( V_z \) of \( -0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) results in a \( \tau_c \) value of 51 \( \mu s \). This is indeed comparable to the period of 50–90 \( \mu s \) for the vibration frequency range of 11–20 kHz. For this reason, simulations have been carried out to estimate the frequency dependence of the average increase in speed of a grain owing to a grain-boundary impact. The approach used and the results are summarized in §4.

4. Numerical simulations of grain–boundary interactions

A full analysis of the granular temperature distribution within the bed is beyond the scope of this paper; we focus instead on estimating the changes in average rebound velocity with frequency for a single impact. Analysis of a particle interacting with a base undergoing ‘sawtooth’ motion has
been carried out by Warr et al. [26] for the case of instantaneous collisions. The finite-contact-time problem is much more difficult to solve analytically. A model was therefore developed in which the one-dimensional equation of motion for a particle approaching a vibrating base in the vertical direction is solved numerically.

Applying Newton’s second law with the Hertzian force–displacement law (equation (2.1)) and neglecting gravity for the duration of the impact, the position of the centre of mass of the grain, \( z_c \), is given by the second-order nonlinear ordinary differential equation

\[
\frac{d^2z_c}{dt^2} = \frac{K}{m} \left( z_b - z_c + r \right)^{3/2} H(z_b - z_c + r),
\]

where \( z_b \) is the position of the base,

\[
z_b = -A_0 \left[ \sin(\omega t + \phi) - 1 \right],
\]

t is time, \( \phi \) is a phase offset and \( H(z) \) is the Heaviside step function \((H(z) = 1, z \geq 0; H(z) = 0, z < 0)\). No loss terms are included in equation (4.1), so that in the absence of vibration \((A_0 \rightarrow 0)\) the rebound speed equals the speed of approach, i.e. the coefficient of restitution \( \varepsilon \rightarrow 1 \).

Equation (4.1) can be simplified by the substitution of the variable \( z = z_c - r \) as follows:

\[
\frac{d^2z}{dt^2} = \frac{K}{m} \left( z_b - z \right)^{3/2} H(z_b - z),
\]

where \( z \) can be thought of as the position of a particle of zero radius, which allows the grain and base trajectories to be plotted on the same axes.

Equation (4.3) may be redefined as two first-order ordinary differential equations in the variables \( z_1 = z \) and \( z_2 = \frac{dz}{dt} \), which can then be solved using standard fourth-order Runge–Kutta techniques [27]. The initial conditions are \( z_1(0) = 0, z_2(0) = -V_z \), and a time step of \( \tau_c/100 \) was used for all the results presented here.

Typical impact trajectories are shown in figure 11 for three different base frequencies, with an arbitrary phase offset \( \phi = 0.1 \). Repeated impacts are apparent in the two higher frequency cases before the grain passes back upwards through the position \( z = 0 \). Integration is terminated at this point, with the value of \( z_2 \) here allowing an effective coefficient of restitution to be calculated as

\[
\varepsilon_e = -\frac{z_2^+}{z_2^-}.
\]

Superscripts + and − indicate the sign of \( z_2 \), and thus refer to the post- and pre-impact velocities, respectively, at the point \( z = 0 \).

\( \varepsilon_e \) is an indicator of the energy injected into the bed, with larger values expected to produce a higher level of fluidization than smaller ones. We use this therefore as a measure of the effectiveness of the vibrating base at different frequencies while maintaining a constant peak base velocity \( V_b = A_0 \omega \).

The phase of the base relative to the time at which the particle passes downwards through \( z = 0 \) is essentially random because of the very large number of base cycles between successive base–grain impacts. Simulations were therefore done over 16 values of \( \phi \) uniformly distributed over the range 0 to \( 2\pi \). Figure 12a shows the average \( \varepsilon_e \) from the 16, with error bars indicating the standard deviation, for the case \( V_b = 0.74 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). Experimentally determined values of \( K \) and \( m \) were used throughout.

At low frequencies, \( \varepsilon_e \) is seen to be independent of frequency, consistent with standard boundary conditions, where \( V_b \) is the sole dynamic parameter controlling energy flux [25]. At very high frequencies, \( \varepsilon_e \rightarrow 1 \), the same value as for a stationary base. The intermediate region, where the vibration period is comparable to the impact duration, is where \( \varepsilon_e \) is changing most quickly with \( f \). The two relevant frequencies, \( f = 11.1 \) and 20.2 kHz, have \( \varepsilon_e \) values of 3.04 and
Figure 11. Base and grain displacement–time plots from numerical simulations for three base frequencies \( f = 5 \text{ kHz} \) (a), 15 kHz (b) and 50 kHz (c). Incoming grain velocity \( V_z = -0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1} \); peak base velocity \( V_b = 0.74 \text{ m s}^{-1} \).

1.63, respectively. The approximate halving of rebound velocity (and corresponding reduction in energy input by approx. 80%) thus provides a plausible explanation for the strong reduction in level of fluidization between figure 10a and c.

The reason for the strong reduction in \( \varepsilon_e \) as \( f \) approaches \( 1/\tau_c \) can be understood in qualitative terms as follows. For the case where \( f \) is small compared with \( 1/\tau_c \), the change in grain velocity from a single collision depends on the instantaneous velocity of the base: the grain gains energy when the base is moving up, whereas it loses energy when the base is moving down. As shown in [26], a grain–base collision is statistically more likely to occur when the base is moving up which means that, on the average, a grain gains rather than loses energy from the base in this low-frequency regime. At \( f = 1/\tau_c \), however, the interaction between base and grain lasts for one complete cycle of the vibration. Thus, although the grain is gaining energy on the part of the cycle when the base is moving up, the base then extracts some of this energy from the grain during the other half of the cycle when the base is moving down. This mechanical averaging effect becomes progressively more effective at smoothing out the fluctuations in energy input to the grain (base moving up) and energy extracted from the grain (base moving down) as \( f \) increases further beyond \( 1/\tau_c \), so that eventually \( \varepsilon_e \to 1 \) as \( f \to \infty \).

In order to generalize the results to other granular materials, base velocities and approach velocities, it is convenient to recast equations (4.2) and (4.3) in non-dimensional form. Time and frequency are normalized using the contact time, \( \tau_c \), so that \( t^* = t/\tau_c \) and \( f^* = f/\tau_c \), where superscript ‘*’ is used to denote a non-dimensional variable. Displacements \( z \) and \( z_b \), and
Figure 12. Mean effective coefficient of restitution ($\varepsilon_e$) for base–grain interactions versus base frequency for base velocity $V_b = 0.74 \text{ m s}^{-1}$; true coefficient of restitution $= 1$. (a) Incoming grain speed $= 0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$; error bars show standard deviation of $\varepsilon_e$ over 16 phases of the vibration cycle. Vertical and horizontal lines indicate the range of frequencies (11.1 and 20.2 kHz) from figure 10. (b) Variation of $\varepsilon_e$ with non-dimensional frequency, $f^*$, for six values (indicated on curves) of non-dimensional base velocity, $V_b^*$. amplitude $A_0$, are normalized using the maximum indentation depth, $z_m$, which occurs at the maximum contact force $P_m$ during an elastic impact on a stationary base:

$$z_m = \left( \frac{5mV_z^2}{4K} \right)^{2/5}. \quad (4.5)$$

In non-dimensional form, equations (4.3) and (4.2) then read, respectively,

$$\frac{d^2z^*}{dt^{*2}} = C(z_b^* - z^*)^{3/2}H(z_b^* - z^*) \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$z_b^* = -A_0^*[\sin(\omega^*t^* + \phi) - 1], \quad (4.7)$$

where $\omega^* = 2\pi f^*$, $C = 10.84$ and

$$A_0^* = \frac{V_b^*}{\omega^*}. \quad (4.8)$$

All $V_z$ values have the same non-dimensional velocity, $V_z^* = -2.945$, and $V_b^*$ is related to $V_b$ through

$$V_b^* = 2.945 \frac{V_b}{|V_z|}. \quad (4.9)$$
Equations (4.6) and (4.7) can be solved for a range of $f^*$ values to produce a curve of $\varepsilon_e$ versus $f^*$, similar to that shown in figure 12a but in non-dimensional form. The only additional adjustable parameter is $V^*_b$. A set of ‘master curves’ can thus be created, one for each $V^*_b$, as shown in figure 12b for six representative $V^*_b$ values.

5. Conclusion

A NMR technique has been used to measure height-resolved velocity distributions, as well as one-dimensional granular temperature and packing fraction profiles, inside a granular bed fluidized by ultrasonic vibration. The velocity distributions were qualitatively similar to those from low-frequency fluidized beds: close-to-Maxwellian distributions at high altitude, with increasing levels of distortion in the positive-velocity tail as the base is approached. Granular temperature was observed to increase slightly with height, even though the ‘viscous damping of pressure wave’ mechanism for such an increase seems unfeasible with a vibration period much smaller than the collision mean free time. The main difference observed in these experiments, compared to previous ones using low-frequency vibration sources, is the strong variation of granular temperature with vibration frequency. Classical energy flux boundary conditions have no such frequency sensitivity, depending instead on the RMS base velocity. Measurement of the elastic properties of the grains, together with one-dimensional modelling of the grain–boundary interactions, suggests that the cause of this effect is a loss of energy transfer efficiency as the vibration period drops towards the grain–boundary contact time.

Data accessibility. NMR datasets (figures 5, 9 and 10): Dryad (doi:10.5061/dryad.4b0sr).
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