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This article presents a set of methods used to support the design and control of biologically inspired walking machines. Starting with a description of the general system design idea, an example for the design of the mechanical construction, a computer supported design procedure for the control architecture and the description of a three-dimensional world model to be used as knowledge base is given. The focus of this paper is on the engineering and integration process and the interrelation between the different phases of the design process.
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1. Introduction

Walking robots represent a field of increasing research activity over the last few years. In particular, the ability of walking machines to adapt to unstructured terrain and the resulting requirements to the control architecture are emphasized by the researchers. These efforts can be separated into two different approaches, one being the classical engineering approach using and refining the classical methods of feedback control structures and dynamic modelling to control the robot, e.g. Löfller et al. (2001) or Gienger et al. (2001). The other way is to adopt as much from biological paragons for locomotion as possible regarding both mechanical design and control architecture, e.g. Ayers et al. (2000a) and Kimura et al. (2001).

Biomechanical research of the last few years has identified several key elements being used in nature for adapting locomotion. These range from the geometrical structure of legs (Witte et al. 2001b) and dynamic properties of muscles (Pearson 1995) to neural networks used for walking by insects (Cruse & Bartling 1995; Cruse et al. 2001). The results of this research imply considerable benefits in case of a transfer of these principles to legged robots. Owing to the high complexity of real walking machines and the impracticality of mimicking, especially nature’s actuators and sensors, up to now only some of the ideas have been transferred to the control architectures and design of real robots.

* Author for correspondence (dillmann@ira.uka.de).

One contribution of 15 to a Theme Issue ‘Walking machines’.
The evolutionary selection process influences an animal’s morphology, sensors and control system in total. It is not possible nor feasible to copy this process. More interesting is to understand the principles that lead to a problem solution during evolution and to learn from these principles. The main idea of bionics is not to copy nature, but to use the ideas.

In the following, we introduce three main lines of biological inspiration applied to the development of walking robots. Figure 1 shows a detailed overview of each area.

— **Design.** This area covers the mechanical design and actuation of biologically inspired walking robots. We describe how the set-up of the kinematic structure and the choice of the actuators are influenced by the insights of biomechanical research.

— **Control.** Taking the insights of neurobiological research, we propose a new method for behaviour-based control design. This method relies heavily on the structures found in the neural system of animals while using the classical control methods for the implementation of the behaviour units.

— **Perception.** The area of perception focuses on the use of various sensor information to optimize the walking behaviour of the robot. A key element for this system is to use self-reflection to build a feature map of the environment. This map is used to configure the behaviours of the control system.

Since the control system design has to consider the mechanical design characteristics during the construction phase of the robot, and the world model for the robot has to consider the underlying control architecture, each new system characteristic yields to changes in the other areas as well.

Since a robot’s hardware is not often changed in comparison with the control software, we study a set of different robot systems, leading to various six- and four-legged walking machines. In this article, we describe three robots: the

![Figure 1. Overview of the different areas of biological inspiration.](http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/)
four-legged prototype Pneumatically Actuated dyNamically sTable quadrupEd Robot (PANTER) to describe the design principles; the four-legged robot BISAM (figure 3) for introducing the control architecture; and the six-legged robot LAURON III (figure 2) to give an example for the higher perception levels. Considering the age of these systems (PANTER being the newest, LAURON III the oldest robot), one can see how new ideas result in the need of changing the parts it depends on.

2. Design

The morphology of insects and mammals has been optimized by the evolutionary process over the last millenniums. This process results in a quasi-optimal solution for the given purpose. The morphology of animals is highly adapted to the requirements of moving in their habitat. This results in the problem that it is not useful to copy the anatomy of an animal as design rules for walking machines. Definitely, of more interest is the question why the evolutionary selection process resulted in the morphology of the real animal. In this article, we describe the prototype leg of the four-legged mammalian-like robot PANTER (figure 3).

For the design of this robot, we adopted the biological leg parameters of a generalized mammalian leg for the shape and joint configuration; in addition, pneumatically actuated artificial muscles are applied to get a configurable spring–damper system. Recent morphological research has showed that all
mammalian legs share the same basic concept of a z-shaped construction (Witte et al. 2001a). In figure 4a, different leg geometries, as they appear in nature, are shown. The design of the PANTER leg directly reflects this construction (shown in figure 4b). The leg consists of three segments equal in length and four active degrees of freedom (d.f.). The distance between the joint axes is 300 mm. The shoulder has two d.f. (α and β). During the power phase, the muscles of the α joint pull the leg backwards and create a forward motion. In the return phase, the leg is pulled to the front of the body. The distance between the shoulder joint and the ground is significantly influenced by the β joint. Based on the mechanical design, the upper and the lower segments of the leg are held in parallel, which means that \( β_1 = β_2 \).

The foot has one active d.f., the γ joint. It is used to support the forward motion and acts, like the foot or toes of an animal, as compensation for high changes of angle velocity in the α and β joints during touch-down and lift-off. Earlier designs of the leg had an additional passive d.f., which has been dropped in newer designs owing to the high mechanical complexity. Instead, the foot has a round shape in sagittal direction that ensures an optimal ground contact while abducting the leg.

Figure 5 shows the first functional prototype of this leg. Since this leg is too heavy, a new construction (as shown in figure 4) made of a carbon-fibre composite frame and optimized by finite element modelling simulations has been developed and is currently in construction.

Concerning the behaviour of mammalian legs during running, biomechanical research has shown that the morphology and the spring–damper characteristic of the muscles are key elements of quick locomotion. Evolution has optimized the walking apparatus of mammals to use self-stabilization cycles while running and in case of disturbances, the spring–load of the leg is adapted to stay in these cycles as shown in Geyer et al. (2002) and Seyfarth & Geyer (2002).
To achieve this behaviour while using as less closed-loop control as possible, FESTO MAS (FESTO 2003) fluidic muscles are used as actuators. These fluidic muscles are based on the well-known McKibben principle, which is described in Chou & Hannaford (1994) as well as in Tondu & Lopez (2000). The static correlation between contractive force, contraction and pressure of the muscle is shown in figure 6.

The equation describing the static force of the muscle is

$$ F_{\text{stat.}}(p, \kappa) = \mu \cdot (\pi r_0^2) \cdot p \cdot (a \cdot (1 - \varepsilon(p) \cdot \kappa)^2 - b), $$

(2.1)

Figure 5. PANTER leg: (a) with shoulder fastener and (b) muscles test-rig.

Figure 6. Comparison between muscle model and the real muscle curve. (a) Advanced model and (b) simplified model.
with the contraction $\kappa = (l_0 - l)/l_0$, the pressure $p$, the initial muscle radius $r_0$, the initial fibre angle $\alpha_0$, the muscle-specific parameters (Tondu & Lopez 2000) $a = 3/\tan^2\alpha_0$ and $b = 1/\sin^2\alpha_0$, the initial length of the muscle $l_0$, the scalar factor $\mu$, and

$$\epsilon(p) = a\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon.$$

The parameters $a_\varepsilon$ and $b_\varepsilon$ have been found using the least-squares method. Figure 6 presents a comparison between this model and the real muscle curve.

It is necessary to simplify equation (2.1) as the controller should operate on a low-performance micro-controller resulting in

$$F_{\text{mus}}(p, \kappa) = c_1 \cdot p + c_2 \cdot \kappa + c_3.$$  

The parameter $c_i$ is calculated using the least-squares method. Figure 6 shows the comparison between the simplified model and the real muscle curve. With the help of this simplified model, it is also possible to calculate the pressure, dependent on the force and the contraction,

$$p(F_{\text{mus}}, \kappa) = \frac{F_{\text{mus}} - (c_2 \cdot \kappa) - c_3}{c_1}.$$  

By applying two of these actuators as antagonistic pairs to a joint, it is possible to configure the stiffness of this joint. A joint position in such a configuration is defined as an equilibrium of the forces exerted by each of the antagonists. Since there is a correlation between length, force and pressure, each joint position can be reached with different overall forces for the same netto force. By configuring the overall force, the stiffness of the joint can be easily controlled by just adjusting the base pressure (see Berns et al. 2003).

3. Control

To get an adaptive and stable control of a legged robot, several biologically inspired architectures have been developed in research over the last few decades. In Kimura & Fukuoka (2000) and Kimura et al. (2001), a neurooscillator-based pattern generator is introduced. The adaptation to the terrain is solved by directly influencing the activation of the oscillator neurons. Ayers et al. (2000b) also uses neurooscillators that are parametrized using the results from the analysis of lobsters. Hosoda et al. (2000) propose a reflex-based gait generation system, triggered by the input of a camera system mounted on the robot. A distributed control system for a hexapod using reflexes to stabilize the body is presented in Espenschied et al. (1996).

Over the last few years, several methods were successfully applied to control the four-legged walking machine BISAM (Berns et al. 1998). These include the usage of coupled neurooscillators for gait generation (Ilg et al. 1998a), learning leg trajectories and the application of radial basis function neural networks and reinforcement learning methods for posture control while trotting (Ilg & Scholl 1998b; Albiez et al. 2001). All of these methods were successful, but lacked a certain extensibility when confronted with more demands than they were initially designed for (e.g. both dynamically stable trot and statically stable walking). Thus, the necessity arose to build an architecture being able to handle these demands.
We therefore propose a hierarchical built reflex/behaviour network system, which is able to cope with the demands of the control of a walking machine. Behaviour-based architectures have been widely used for the control of mobile robots. The main area of application has been wheel-driven, kinematically extremely simple robots, with the main focus on the robot’s task, e.g. navigation and group behaviour (see Mataric 1997; Arkin et al. 2000a; Endo & Arkin 2001).

There have only been a few attempts to use behaviour-based architectures on the lower levels of the control architecture for kinematically more complex robots like walking machines. The best known and most successful is the subsumption architecture (see Brooks 1986; Ferrell 1995) used on several hexapods. A more biologically inspired approach for a lobster-like robot is proposed in Ayers et al. (2000a). But there are several drawbacks to these architectures, among them is a general tendency towards scalability problems; weaknesses when adding new behaviours or trying reusing the existing ones; and in most cases a highly problem-specific approach (see Arkin 2000b).

(a) Motivation

When considering the insights gained through PET and EEG scans and spinal cord activity plots of animals performing certain tasks (Pearson 1995; Kandel et al. 2000), as well as the problems when dealing with real sensor information and highly complex robots, the following key aspects can be identified.

— A certain action of an animal always creates activity in the same area of the animal’s brain or its spinal cord.
— Such an active area can result in the stimulation of further regions as well as inhibit activity in others.
— Even though the classical approach to robot control has difficulties in handling the complexity of the whole system, these established methods should be applied to solve simpler sub-problems.
— As hierarchical systems have been approved in robotics as well as in nature, it is advisable to use some kind of levelled system with an increasing degree of abstraction regarding sensor data and motor signals.

Taking these observations into consideration, we designed a control architecture consisting of a hierarchical network of behaviours. Each behaviour or reflex is developed using methods of classical control system design or artificial intelligence. Only the interaction of the behaviours and their placement in the network will result in the desired actions of the overall system.

(b) Behaviours

A behaviour or reflex $B$ in the sense of this architecture is a functional unit that generates an output vector $u$ using an input vector $e$ and an activation $i$ according to a transfer function $F(e)$. Additionally, a target rating criterion $r(e)$ and a behaviour activity $a$ are calculated. Mathematically, this can be

1 A reflex refers to a simple behaviour close to the hardware, thus being more reactive than deliberative.
combined with the 6-tuple
\[ B = (e, u, \iota, F, r, a). \]
The transfer function \( F \) is defined as in equation (3.1) for an input dimension of \( n \) and an output dimension of \( m \). It implements the fundamental action being performed by the behaviour. The output vector \( u \) is generated in two steps. First, an unmodified output with respect to the input vector \( e \) is produced. In the second step, this output is modified according to the activation \( \iota \). Generally speaking, an \( \iota \) of 0 means that the behaviour will generate no output at all, one of 1 will lead to the full output. For simple behaviours, for example posture control reflexes implemented by a classical controller, the output is scaled between 0 and 1. In more deliberative behaviours, like the swing or a gait behaviour, \( \iota \) could express an increasing tendency to perform an action (figure 7),
\[
F : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m; \quad F(e, \iota) = u. \quad (3.1)
\]
This activation mechanism ensures the robot’s safety to a certain degree by activating only a defined set of behaviours and enables the usage of the behaviour as an abstract actor by other higher level behaviours.

While the formal definition above is an abstract view of the behaviour, the actual implementation method can vary from simple feedforward controllers up to more complicated systems like finite state automata or algorithms used in artificial intelligence.

As mentioned above, each behaviour generates a target rating \( r \), defined in equation (3.2), evaluating how far the actual state of the robot matches the aspired goal of the behaviour. For this estimation, the input vector \( e \) consisting of sensor information from the robot and outputs of other behaviours is used. A value of 0 indicates that the robot’s state matches the behaviour’s goal, but a value of 1 indicates that it does not. It is constantly updated even if the behaviour is deactivated and generates no output,
\[
r : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0; 1]; \quad r(e) = r. \quad (3.2)
\]
For monitoring reasons, it is desirable to have some visualization of the behaviour’s activity. But even more importantly, the activity is used as feedback information for other behaviours and for behaviour coordination. The activity \( a \) is defined in equation (3.3). Colloquial \( a \) can be interpreted as an indication on the behaviour’s effort in transferring the robot to a state achieving its goal,
\[
a : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0; 1] : a(u) \sim \| u \|. \quad (3.3)
\]
\[ (c) \quad \text{Behaviour coordination} \]
Following the approach of Brook’s subsumption architecture, the behaviours are placed on layers depending on how much they actually work on the robot’s hardware. The inputs and outputs of each behaviour are connected with each
other to form a network structure (see figure 8 for an example). These connections transport control and sensor information as well as loop-back information like \( r, i \) and \( a \) of different behaviours. In case several outputs of different behaviours are connected to the same input of another behaviour, a behaviour activity-based fusion scheme is used (figure 9). This scheme favours the output of behaviours having an unmet target (i.e. a high \( r \)) and a high activity \( a \) implying a \( i \) greater than 0. The actual fusion of the outputs is done with the help of a fusion knot. Basically, a fusion knot is no more than a fusion function \( f \) combining the outputs \( u \), the activities \( a \) and the target ratings \( r \) of all behaviours involved to generate one output vector \( u' \):

\[
f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : f(u_0, \ldots, u_n, a_0, \ldots, a_n, r_0, \ldots, r_n) = u'. \quad (3.4)
\]

In most cases, either only the output of the behaviour with the highest activity (winner takes it all) is used or the average of all outputs weighted by the activities is calculated by \( f \). However, it is possible to implement even more complex fusion schemes if it is necessary. This allows the usage of the behaviours’ activity \( a \) and target rating \( r \) as a mean for behaviour coordination without directly using the values of the actual control data generated by the behaviour. This way the output fusion is independent of the scaling of the control data and it is ensured that two behaviours competing over the influence on another behaviour will not annihilate each other’s output. This fusion scheme can be positioned between fuzzy and multiple objective behaviour coordination (see Pirajanian 1999).

The activity in such a network will concentrate inside the influence area or region \( \mathcal{R} \) of a higher level behaviour. \( \mathcal{R} \) is recursively defined as in equation (3.5),

Figure 8. Behaviour coordination network.
where $\text{Act}(B)$ is the set of behaviours being influenced by $B$ via $i$,

$$\mathcal{R}(B) = \bigcup_{B_i \in \text{Act}(B)} \{B_i \cup \mathcal{R}(B_i)\};$$

$$\mathcal{R}(B) = 0, \text{ if } \text{Act}(B) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

A region is an organizational unit of cooperating behaviours. The affiliation of a behaviour to a region is not exclusive. For example, a leg’s swing behaviour is located in the region of a stride and a trot behaviour. To coordinate the influence of several high-level behaviours on a lower one, a fusion knot is inserted before its $i$ input. An example for the coordination of the influence of two behaviours on a third is shown in figure 9.

Figure 10 shows the behaviour network belonging to a leg as an example for a behaviour network. Note that the stance behaviour is inhibited by the swing behaviour via the activity, and such guaranteeing, that stancing will stop as soon as the leg is cleared for swinging. The two ‘helper’ behaviours, preparing a swing phase and keeping the ground contact, are the most reactive in this group and as such are placed at the bottom.

— *Swing*. This behaviour calculates the swing trajectory for a leg. When activated, it waits until the lower reflexes signal that the weight of the robot is removed from the leg. When this is accomplished, a swing trajectory for the leg is calculated and executed. As long as the swing is in progress, the activity of this behaviour inhibits the stance behaviour.
— **Stance.** The leg is pushed in the opposite direction of the robot resulting in a forward movement. This behaviour is switched off as long as there is an activity from the swing behaviour and activates its helper *ground contact*.

— **Obstacle collision.** This reflex monitors the force sensors in the foot and detects a collision with an obstacle. When this happens, the foot is pulled away from the obstacle and the swing behaviour is reset.

The reaction of the control architecture when BISAM hits an obstacle during the swing phase is presented in figure 11. This experiment shows the reaction of the robot when the swing leg collides with a higher obstacle or fails to make contact with the ground after the swing is finished. In the latter case, the robot will search for ground by stretching the leg and lowering the body, and repositioning the foot when unsuccessful. The former case is shown in figure 11; the leg swing is represented by the z-position of the foot point and the swing behaviour is plotted as well. As soon as the foot collides with the obstacle (note the peak is at approx. \( t = 6 \) s), the collision reflex reacts, being activated by the swing behaviour since the foot left the ground. The foot is pulled back and the collision is resolved. The peak of the reflex’s target rating serves as signal for the swing behaviour, which will start a new swing from the actual foot point to a raised target point. The resulting foot point trajectory is shown in figure 12.

To allow the transition of the activity between different regions, \( r \) and \( a \) are loop-backed between behaviours on the same level as well as on others. This way it is possible that at a point where one behaviour cannot handle a situation anymore even when it is fully in charge, another behaviour that can solve the situation is activated while the first behaviour is deactivated. If, for example, the desired speed of a walking machine cannot be achieved, a gait change is initiated (as shown in Albiez et al. 2003).
4. Perception

To actually use the flexibility of legged systems, there has to be a certain amount of knowledge of the environment around the robot. Using only the ‘pure’ reflex architecture results in a low speed on irregular terrain. The robot tends to make mistakes (e.g. hitting an obstacle with every leg) over and over again, since the reflexes and low-level behaviour are not able to learn anything about their environment. To compensate these problems, a perception layer has to be designed. For this, it is necessary to have a three-dimensional model of the robot’s adjacent environment that acts as a knowledge base for activating the correct behaviours for traversing it. The first steps for realizing such a model are implemented on LAURON III (Gaßmann et al. 2001), which features a relative robust control architecture and, being a six-legged system, is more robust to irregular terrain. Since we are at the beginning of this work, the lower speed of a six-legged machine in this case is not considered a drawback.

Much work concerning three-dimensional environment models has already been done, but it mostly focuses on wheel-driven vehicles (e.g. Stuck et al. 1994; Kruse et al. 1996; Murphy et al. 2002). All of these use some sort of occupancy grid (Elfes 1989) for representation, which proved to be very effective, but none of these approaches considers the specific needs of a walking robot. Since legged machines are supposed to overcome obstacles instead of just avoiding them, the placement of the feet during walking is an important task to be considered when designing the environment model. Some huge walking robots, like the ADAPTIVE SUSPENSION VEHICLE (Bihari et al. 1989), the AMBLER (Wettergreen et al. 1990), or the DANTE II (Bares & Wettergreen 1999), were able to build local elevation maps by using huge and heavy three-dimensional distance laser sensors. Smaller machines like LAURON III have to generate the map step-by-step on the basis of sparse sensory information, which moreover requires the accurate determination of the robot’s spatial position and orientation to guarantee a consistent and thus useable map of the environment.

Figure 12. Trajectory of the foot point in case of an obstacle collision.
Outdoor localization of mobile robots in general is a challenging task. The robot moves in rough terrain with an unstructured surrounding and changing light conditions. Maps of the environment are rarely available, so that localization via the detection of well-known landmarks is hardly possible. In general, the complexity of landmark and/or map-based localization algorithms increase tremendously in an outdoor application. The fact that the algorithms have to run on board of a middle-sized autonomous walking robot complicates the situation even more. The body of a walking robot is capable of moving in an omnidirectional way with six d.f.. Since the load of such a walking robot is very restricted, there are further limitations on the sensor system and the computational power able to cope with this.

Following existing outdoor localization approaches (e.g. Fuke & Krotkov 1996; Goel et al. 1999 or Sukkarieh et al. 1999), one can state that the combination of several different localization methods is strongly indicated. Our proposed approach uses the robot’s odometry calculation as the permanent available, basic relative measuring method. Absolute position and orientation information is fused to restrict the localization error by using a Kalman filter. A GPS receiver seems to be applicable for the correction of the global position, especially if one thinks about the availability of a satellite-based differential GPS. Information about the absolute spatial orientation of the robot could be gained by the use of accelerometers detecting the direction of gravity in combination with magnetometers to know the direction of the geographical north.

The calculation of the walking robot odometry is based on the solution presented by Roston & Krotkov (1992). Unlike AMBLER, which moved only one leg at a particular time, LAURON III in general swings multiple legs simultaneously. Respecting the robot dimension, the walking speed of LAURON III is also much higher. In unstructured terrain, this often leads to partially slipping feet in the support phase, so that the classification of slipping feet and not slipping feet is not obvious any more. Therefore, we propose a preliminary fuzzy reasoning step to generate a fine granular weight factor for each leg (figure 13a). In the first stage, the leg states ground contact, slipping and collision ∈ [0;1] are rated from sensor measurements like contact forces, joint angles and motor currents. The second stage then evaluates the overall weight ∈ [0;1], which is passed to the odometry calculation.

Figure 13b illustrates a schematic of the proposed feedback error state Kalman filter to correct the position and orientation errors. Contrary to the odometry of wheel-driven mobile robots, the odometry calculation of walking robots itself is too complex to serve as a system model for the filter. Taking the relative character of the odometry into account, we propose to present the velocities and the angular velocities in the robot coordinate system to the Kalman filter. The derivation of the filter model then could be adapted from an INS/GPS coupling Kalman filter (Gaßmann et al. 2005).

---

(b) The mapping task

Navigation in unstructured, mostly unknown, terrain implies some restrictions to the representation of the environment. The required representation method should be independent of edge detection, because no edges can be expected in a natural environment. In order to place the feet at proper locations, the resolution of the maps must be high and exact, especially in the direct surroundings of the machine. Taking these aspects into account yields to a geometric representation approach for mapping the environment. Small robots are not able to carry large numbers of sensors. Thus, map generation has to be done on the basis of sparse sensory information. To handle white areas with insufficient environment data, the robot should be able to provide the mapping module with additional measurements.

Comparing existing mapping approaches for walking machines, we found the occupancy grid method (Elfes 1989) very suitable. Our proposed approach, the advanced inference grid, is a variant of the vector field histogram method (Borenstein & Koren 1991). Out of each measurement (which can be achieved by a distance laser sensor or tactile feet), the global coordinates of the hit voxel and the corresponding grid cell are calculated, and its content is modified. For the distance sensor, all the cells lying on a straight line between the detected cell and the one corresponding to the robot’s head position, which are obviously empty, are also regarded. In our approach, the content of each cell does not consist only of an occupancy value, but a credibility value is also stored. Both values range from 0 to 1. The occupancy value $\text{occ}(C)$ reveals the occupancy state of the area represented by the grid cell $C$—insofar as it is known. The credibility value $\text{cred}(C)$ states how much we are able to trust this observation. This division is done for the following purpose. We want to distinguish whether a stored occupancy of, for example, 0.5 indicates a fairly correct observation of an inhomogeneous environment or just measurements of improper quality, a drawback that, if detected, can be repaired easily by invoking additional measurements or, alternatively, a more cautious walking behaviour of the robot.

In addition, a grid cell stores further meta data to support the update of the cell content, e.g. total amount of measurements, age of the latest measurement and number of different contributed sensors. Figure 14 illustrates the data flow on updating a grid cell $C$ when new sensor data are available. A parameter estimation based on fuzzy reasoning determines the credibility of the
measurement $k_{\text{cred}} \in [0,1]$ and the influence of the measurement on the cell content $k_{\text{in}} \in [0,1]$. With $\text{obs}(C_t) \in \{0,1\}$ being the actual observation, the new cell content calculates

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{occ}(C_{t+1}) &= (1-k_{\text{in}}) \cdot \text{occ}(C_t) + k_{\text{in}} \cdot \text{obs}(C_t), \\
\text{cred}(C_{t+1}) &= (1-k_{\text{in}}) \cdot \text{cred}(C_t) + k_{\text{in}} \cdot k_{\text{cred}}.
\end{align*}
$$

The fuzzy rules allow an easy and fast method for filtering the incoming sensor data, e.g. if there were many measurements so far, the influence of the sole actual measurement is low. If the latest measurement is rather old, the influence of the actual measurement is high. If the grid cells in the neighbourhood reflect the actual observation, the measurement credibility $k_{\text{cred}}$ is high. The use of this meta information is one of the main differences from the vector field histogram, the influence of each measurement is dependent on the quality of both prior and actual data. Averaged information will be easily erased out of the model, new and good observations will have greater influence than redundant weak-quality information.

(c) The adaptation task

Storing three-dimensional data results in a need for a huge amount of memory, even though octrees are used for representing the map contents. Furthermore, planning in a three-dimensional map is computationally extremely expensive. In order to save memory and gain processing efficiency, the three-dimensional base map only contains the immediate surrounding of the walking robot and scrolls as the machine is moving. A global two-dimensional elevation map for further processing is generated by projection (figure 15).

One of the most relevant decisions for a walking machine is the selection of the footprints. Each time before the execution of a new step is invoked, the expected next footprint is calculated assuming an even and flat ground. Using the two-dimensional elevation map, the target area is searched for the most credible
location, which can be expected to be a secure place for the robot’s foot (Gaßmann et al. 2003). Finally, that footprint is passed to the walking control module. This enables the machine to perform planned steps on elevations or into slots without collisions (which for example invoke the elevator reflex) or search for ground and therefore accomplish a fluid motion.

Looking ahead even further, several geometrical parameters describing the near environment are extracted from the two-dimensional elevation map like inclination, roughness or average obstacle size. In addition, non-geometrical attributes like ground softness are stored while walking on the terrain (figure 16). These data are applied to a fuzzy-based rating, deciding on general walking parameters like velocity, gait, step-height and inclination-mode.

Figure 15. Map generation. (a) The local three-dimensional map scrolls with the moving robot. (b) The two-dimensional global elevation map is generated by projection.

Figure 16. A model of the walking machine upon the elevation map. In the upper right corner the roughness of the map is illustrated, the lower left corner denotes the fuzzy-based rating.
5. Conclusion and outlook

In this article, a design philosophy for designing and controlling biologically inspired walking machines was presented. As examples for the mechanical design, the prototype leg for a mammalian-like four-legged walking machine was introduced. As actuators, pneumatic artificial muscles are used to model the spring–damper characteristics of the biological muscles. As a control method, we presented a behaviour network architecture and a method to map and classify the environment around a walking machine and used this information for behaviour selection.

Future work will consist of implementing the world model and the control architecture on the newer systems, which actually means that the design cycle will be closed again. In detail, this will consist of using the three-dimensional world model for a very adaptive free-gait and trot for the four-legged machine BISAM. The behaviour networks are currently implemented on the six-legged robot LAURON III, and a system to integrate the world model and the behaviour architecture more tightly is in development. Moreover, a path planner for walking machines on the basis of the two-dimensional elevation map is in test stage.

Currently, we have started a new project to design a two-legged robot with an adjustable spring–damper system in the knees (figure 17). In this project, we will combine the experience made with the introduced robots in one walking robot. Especially in the areas of actuation and control, we will use the presented methods to transfer biomechanical and neurobiological expertise to engineering.

The authors would like to thank the German Research Foundation (DFG) for sponsoring their work over the last years.
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