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1. Introduction

Ligand-stabilized gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) in the size range of a few nanometres are objectives of high interest to research dedicated to future technologies such as sensor applications (Franke et al. 2006; Jumar Ahirawal & Mitra 2009; Zhang et al. 2009), medical diagnostics (Wilson 2008), catalysis (Corma & Garcia 2008; Pina et al. 2008) or nanoelectronics (Schmid & Simon 2005; Schmid 2008). This extraordinary interest is reflected by the enormous increase in original works published as well as by the large number of reviews dealing with the preparation, electronic structure and optical properties in combination with the potential applications of AuNPs (Daniel & Astruc 2004; Eustis & El-Sayed 2006; Grzelczak et al. 2008; Murray 2008). The widespread and highly interdisciplinary field of these research activities relies on features of metal nanoparticles in general and AuNPs in particular.

AuNPs, in particular, exhibit good chemical stability. In principle, they can be surface functionalized with almost every type of electron-donating molecule including biomolecules (Daniel & Astruc 2004; Wilton-Ely 2008). Beyond that,
in the meantime, several protocols have been developed that allow their assembly into one, two and three dimensions (Daniel & Astruc 2004; Schmid & Simon 2005; Ofir et al. 2008; Park et al. 2008). Altogether, these facts triggered the development of concepts for the design of novel materials with very specific properties based on the unique size-dependent properties of single nanoparticles and their collective properties in assemblies, owing to dipolar, magnetic or electronic coupling.

Some of the unique characteristics of metal nanoparticles in general are already apparent just by looking at the melting point behaviour when reducing the size of the bulk metal down to an aggregate size of a few nanometres. For example, bulk gold has a melting point of around 1064°C (Lide 1995), and it is defined as an elemental specific property (Brune et al. 2006). Upon reducing the size of a respective piece of metal under investigation, the melting point drops continuously and AuNPs with a size of approximately 2 nm exhibit a melting point of around 200°C (Buffat & Borel 1976; Castro et al. 1990). This lower melting point can be attributed to the increasing ratio of surface atoms to inner atoms upon decreasing the particle size. The surface atoms have a lower coordination number and are therefore more mobile. Thus, the melting point reflects the onset of atomic mobility comparable to that in the melted state. This means that, in principle, it could even be possible to reach a melting point of ‘gold’ which is close to room temperature.

Further characteristic properties become evident by studying the optical properties. For example, an aqueous dispersion of colloidal gold (in the size range of approx. 15–100 nm) appears ruby red in transmitted light (Daniel & Astruc 2004). This effect arises from the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) excitation, which is based on the interaction with the electromagnetic field of the incoming light resulting in a collective oscillation of the conduction electrons on the nanoparticle’s surface. The light thereby creates surface polarization charges, which act as a restoring force on the electron gas and thus induce oscillation of the electron plasma. The SPR for small AuNPs appears in the visible and near-infrared range domains of light, whereas its position is influenced by the size, shape and the surrounding media of the single nanoparticle, including the nature of the ligand shell and the interparticle distances in dispersions (Myroshnychenko et al. 2008; Pecharromán et al. 2008). For example, investigations of gold colloid dispersions in SiO2 matrices under volume ratio control revealed that, upon reducing the interparticle distance, the single AuNPs start to ‘feel’ each other; this is observable in a typical redshift of the plasmon resonance, which is visually observable by a colour change from red to blue. This unique characteristic triggered ideas for the fabrication of hybrid materials with controllable optical properties (Ung et al. 2002; Hu et al. 2006; Sendrouniu et al. 2006; Chen et al. 2008).

Upon reducing the size of bulk gold, an additional aspect of fundamental relevance is revealed: the electrical properties. Single nanoparticles with sizes in the range of a few nanometres exhibit an electronic structure that corresponds to an intermediate electronic structure between the band structure of the bulk metal and the discrete energy levels of molecules with a characteristic highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)–lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) gap.
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In the size range of approximately 2 nm and below, single particles can be considered as quantum dots (Halperin 1986). A thoroughly studied example is the so-called ‘Schmid cluster’, Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ (‘Au$_{55}$’), with a core size of 1.4 nm. Since its discovery (Schmid et al. 1981), the cluster has been intensively investigated and an excellent overview about this compound has recently been presented by Schmid (2008). Impedance spectroscopy (IS), voltammetric and scanning tunnelling spectroscopy (STS) investigations revealed Coulomb charging at room temperature. This fact shows that this cluster is a promising subunit in nanoelectronic devices using the so-called Coulomb blockade and the controlled tunneling of individual charges, i.e. single-electron tunnelling (SET). Furthermore, low-temperature STS investigations gave clear evidence of discrete energy levels (Zhang et al. 2003). Generally, the level spacing, as well as the position of the Coulomb gap in small metal clusters, is also influenced by the size, shape and the surrounding medium, including the ligand shell and the interparticle distances (Remacle & Levine 2001).

With respect to biological applications, another crucial aspect of AuNP has recently moved into focus: the potential cytotoxicity. Since early investigations of triphenylmonosulphonate-stabilized 1.4 nm Au nanoparticles, i.e. the water-soluble derivative of Au$_{55}$, revealed an even higher toxicity than cisplatin, as demonstrated for different cell lines (Tsoli et al. 2005), the key question is which parameters influence the impact of AuNPs on the viability of cells, i.e. for intracellular gene regulation (Rosi et al. 2006), chemotherapy (Podsiadlo et al. 2008) or drug delivery (Han et al. 2007a,b), and consequently the number of publications dedicated to toxicity has increased drastically (Connor et al. 2005; Nel et al. 2006; Pan et al. 2007; Boisselier & Astruc 2009; El-Ansary & Al-Daihan 2009).

Having the above-mentioned different properties of AuNPs in mind, this review aims at presenting a topical overview on how the unique properties of individual AuNPs and AuNP assemblies can be used to create new functional materials for applications in a technical or biological environment. With the term technical environment, we focus on the potential use of AuNPs as subunits in nanoelectronic devices. The term biological environment addresses issues of toxicity and novel concepts of controlling biomolecular reactions on the surface of AuNPs, which appeared as a very recent focus in potential biomedical applications. The review will not provide an overview of the variety of concepts involved in diagnostics, imaging or labelling. Hence, we will cover the basic principles of AuNP preparation, SET on ligand-stabilized AuNPs, nanoparticle assemblies and electrical addressing. Furthermore, parameters eliciting the cytotoxicity of AuNPs and concepts of detecting and triggering biomolecular reactions on the surface of AuNPs will be presented. Since these research topics represent rapidly growing fields of research, this review can highlight only selected recent developments, while having an emphasis on research performed in our group.

2. Preparation of AuNPs

Many protocols have been developed for the preparation of different types of AuNPs, varying in size, shape and ligand shell composition. However, owing to the multiple control parameters, the preparation of a distinct nanoparticle type still
represents a great challenge reflected by a great number of publications dedicated to this topic (Pileni 2003, 2007; Schmid 2004; Burda et al. 2005; Richards et al. 2005; Grzelczak et al. 2008). Very comprehensive and extended overviews are given by Daniel & Astruc (2004) and by Grzelczak et al. (2008).

In order to use the size-dependent properties of metal nanoparticles, ideally monodisperse nanoparticles are required. The typical procedures yielding ligand-stabilized AuNPs always involve some kind of dispersity, which means that, with respect to applications, sophisticated size separation techniques are necessary to reduce the size distribution. This presumption of ideal monodispersity is realized in gold clusters consisting of a defined number of atoms. In the following, the basic principles of ligand-stabilized AuNPs and Au cluster preparation will be summarized briefly.

(a) Ligand-protected AuNPs

The overall basic synthesis principle is the reduction of metal salts via suitable reducing agents in the presence of ligand molecules, which form self-assembled (SA) monolayers on the nanoparticle surface and thus stabilize the nanoparticles. Besides the well-known citrate-stabilized AuNPs (refer to Turkevich et al. 1951; Love et al. 2005), typical ligand molecules for AuNPs are phosphines, amines and thiolates, of which the thiolates are most intensively investigated owing to the strong binding characteristic of thiol to gold compared with phosphines and amines and the predominantly electrostatically bound citrate.

Typical reducing agents used in the direct reduction route are citrate and sodium borohydride. The latter is applied most frequently since Brust et al. (1994) introduced a two-phase liquid–liquid preparation method in which AuCl$_4^-$ is transferred from the aqueous solution to toluene using tetraoctylammonium bromide as a phase-transfer agent and reduced with aqueous sodium borohydride in the presence of an alkane thiol, yielding stable nanoparticles with small size distributions, ranging from 1 to 3 nm. In this case, the control over the particle size is achieved by varying the thiol/gold salt ratio and the addition rate of sodium borohydride. The respective nanoparticles can be precipitated, redissolved, chromatographed and further surface-modified without any apparent change in properties. Brust et al. (1995) developed this reaction route to a one-phase system also, which allows working without a phase-transfer agent and thus avoids the phase-transfer step and eventually further purification from tetraoctylammonium bromide impurities.

However, one disadvantage of sodium borohydride is its strong reducing character, which restricts this route to non-functionalized ligands. An alternative much milder reducing agent in this context was recently demonstrated by Sardar & Shumaker-Parry (2009). They prepared various AuNPs by using borabicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN), with sizes around 2 nm. This reducing agent allows the use of functionalized alkanethiols such as 11-mercaptopoundecanoic acid and 11-mercaptop-1-undecanoic and azide-terminated undecyl disulphide, within a direct one-phase reduction route.

A method that also allows the direct implementation of ligands that are typically not stable within the sodium borohydride route is the surfactant-free synthesis of AuNPs by Schulz-Dobrick et al. (2005). In this approach, HAuCl$_4$ solved in diethyleneeglycol dimethyl ether (diglyme) is reduced by sodium
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Figure 1. (a) Microcrystals of Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ and (b) high-resolution image of a thin crystal part indicating the highly ordered cluster layers. Reproduced with permission from Schmid et al. (1999). Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry. Scale bar, (a) 5 µm; (b) 20 µm.

naphthalenide in diglyme. The formed AuNPs in this first step are just weakly solvent-molecule protected and can be further stabilized and functionalized by simply adding respective ligand molecules (1-dodecanethiol, dodecanamine, oleylamine and triphenylphosphine (TPP) sulphide). Furthermore, this approach allows the size of the nanoparticles to be tuned within the range 1.9–5.2 nm, depending on the volume of the added reduction solution and the time between the addition of reduction solution and the addition of ligand molecule solution.

Besides the choice of the ligand and the reaction condition, the question is still how to gain reproducible good control over the particle size distribution. In this context, an approach that uses tailor-made multidentate polythioether ligands during nanoparticle formation was introduced recently. The basic idea is that a large multidentate ligand structure might favour well-defined particle sizes by enwrapping the whole particle. Thereby, AuNPs of approximately 2 nm are formed in a two-phase water/dichloromethane system, closely following the procedure developed by Brust et al. (1994). This concept is promising as it would allow control of the number and topology of functional groups on the particle surface (Peterle et al. 2008).

(b) Gold clusters

Gold clusters of defined composition have been known for several years. Among these, the Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ cluster introduced by Schmid et al. (1981) is probably the most intensively studied owing to the quantum size behaviour, which reflects the properties of a metallic quantum dot, as already mentioned in §1 (Simon et al. 1993a,b; Schmid 1998). The preparation protocol of Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ follows the direct reduction of the Au(I) complex, Au(PPh$_3$)Cl, solved in benzene with in situ formed B$_2$H$_6$ as the reducing agent. The cluster may be isolated as a microcrystalline dark brown solid, best soluble in dichloromethane (figure 1) (Schmid et al. 1999).

Profound investigations involving transmission electron microscopy (TEM), small-angle X-ray diffraction of microcrystals and $^{31}$P-NMR experiments have been performed to determine the structural characteristics and stability
Figure 2. Organization of full-shell clusters. The first single atom is surrounded by 12 others to give a one-shell cluster $M_{13}$. Forty-two atoms can be densely packed on the 12 atoms ending with the $M_{55}$ two-shell cluster, followed by 92 atoms and 162 atoms to give $M_{147}$ and $M_{309}$, respectively. Adapted from Schmid (2008). Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.

(Schmid 1985, 2008; Schmid et al. 1999). At this point, we would just like to mention that $^{31}$P-NMR experiments showed that the phosphine ligands partially dissociate in the solution, leading to the formation of cluster aggregates. Therefore, solutions of the cluster reveal no long-term stability, although they are stable for at least hours.

The defined stoichiometrical composition of $Au_{55}(PPh_3)_{12}Cl_6$ refers to the so-called full-shell cluster model, in which the cluster is considered as a cut-out of the bulk lattice structure, implying that the cluster consists of a metal nucleus surrounded by shells of closely packed metal atoms, each shell having $10n^2+2$ atoms, where $n$ is the number of shells (Schmid et al. 1990; Schmid 2004). The Au$_{55}$ cluster therefore represents an example of a two-shell cluster (figure 2).

With a recently published theoretical investigation on ligand and solvation effects on the electronic properties of the Au$_{55}$ cluster, Periyasamy & Remacle (2009) provided an optimized geometry model based on discrete Fourier transform calculations for the Au$_{55}$ cluster. The calculations are in agreement with the above-described structure model of an icosahedral cluster core geometry derived from the full-shell cluster principle. This concept still holds true when comparing bare Au$_{55}$ and ligated Au$_{55}$ clusters ($Au_{55}(PH_3)_{12}$, $Au_{55}(PH_3)_{12}Cl_6$ and $Au_{55}(PH_3)_{12}Cl_6\cdot54H_2O$) (figure 3). The phosphine ligands coordinate to the face edge atom of the cluster, and the six Cl atoms are asymmetrically coordinated to the three face-centred gold atoms. The calculated diameters based on these geometries are in agreement with the previously performed experimental findings (Periyasamy & Remacle 2009). Furthermore, with these studies, they provided an approach to understand how ligand and solvation effects influence the electrical properties of the Au$_{55}$ cluster. For example, for the case of $Au_{55}(PH_3)_{12}Cl_6$, a strong coordination of the Cl ligands with the Au atoms of the outer shell was determined, reflected by an increase of 1.5 eV in the charging energy when compared with the bare Au$_{55}$ clusters. In the case of $Au_{55}(PH_3)_{12}Cl_6$ modelled...
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Figure 3. Optimized geometry of the stable isomers of (a) Au55, (b) Au55(PH3)12, (c) Au55(PH3)12Cl6 and (d) Au55(PH3)12Cl6 54H2O. All clusters exhibit a distorted icosahedral geometry where a central Au (red) is surrounded by two shells. A first shell of 12 Au (green) in a sphere of approximately 0.29 nm and a second shell of 42 Au, the 12 face edge atoms (brown) being at approximately 0.58 nm and the 30 face-centred ones (yellow) at approximately 0.51 nm from the central gold atom, respectively. Reprinted with permission from Periyasamy & Remacle (2009). Copyright © 2009 American Chemical Society.

with 54H2O, a decrease in the charging energy of approximately 2 eV down to 1 eV (compared with 3.5 eV for the bare Au55 cluster) was calculated, which the authors ascribe to a weakening of the Cl–Au bond owing to the fact that the H2O affects primarily the Cl coordination to the Au55 cluster by H-bonding.

Examples of one-shell clusters displaying the theoretically predicted icosahedral structure concept as depicted in figure 2 are [Au13(dppm)6(NO3)4] (dppm = Ph2CH2CH2PPh2) (van der Velden et al. 1981a) and [Au13(PMe2Ph)10Cl2](PF6)3 (Briant et al. 1981). The cluster [Au13(dppm)6(NO3)4] is synthesized by the reduction of Au2(dppm)(NO3)2 with NaBH4. The chelating dppm molecules trap the Au13 clusters and thus prevent them from further growth. In the
case of \([\text{Au}_{13}(\text{PMe}_2\text{Ph})_{10}\text{Cl}_2](\text{PF}_6)_3\), the cluster was formed by the addition of Ti(\(\eta\)-C\(_7\)H\(_8\))\(_2\) to a toluene solution of AuCl(PMe\(_2\)Ph). Upon redissolution in ethanolic solution and addition of NEt\(_4\)Cl, the Au\(_{13}\) species crystallized as dark red crystals. Details of this unexpected reaction are still unknown.

There are also several other molecular gold clusters that have been known for many years, which consist of an atom number that does not allow the formation of a closed geometric shell: Au\(_8\)(PPh\(_3\))\(_7\)(NO\(_3\))\(_2\) (‘Au\(_8\)’) (van der Velden et al. 1981b), Au\(_9\)[P(C\(_6\)H\(_5\))\(_3\)]\(_8\)(NO\(_3\))\(_3\) (‘Au\(_9\)’) (Wen et al. 2008) (figure 4) and Au\(_{10}\)Cl\(_3\)(PCy\(_2\)Ph)\(_6\)NO\(_3\) (‘Au\(_{10}\)’, Cy = cyclohexyl), just to mention a few. In the case of Au\(_8\) and Au\(_9\), the metal core forms an incomplete icosahedron, and in the case of Au\(_{10}\), the core can be described as a hexagonal ring of six edge- and face-sharing tetrahedral atoms with a central Au atom. The preparation of each single cluster is very specific, and the procedure for the preparation of clusters with a designated size cannot be predicted, which means that the preparation of each cluster material until now has relied mainly on empirical optimization.

Several theoretical attempts, mainly based on large-scale density functional theory calculations, have been performed in recent years to understand and predict structural and compositional features of ligand-protected gold clusters, consisting of an atom number that does not allow the formation of a closed geometric shell. Figure 4. Structure of the \([\text{Au}_9(\text{P}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3)_8]^{3+}\) cluster cation determined by single crystal X-ray measurements. Reproduced with permission from Wen et al. (2008). Copyright © Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
geometric shell (Häkkinen 2008). Among these is the ‘superatom’ principle, introduced by Khanna & Jena (1992) for special metal clusters produced in the gas phase, and the ‘divide and protect’ principle, developed by Häkkinen et al. (2006) for an Au$_{38}$ cluster. The superatom principle is based, in analogy to the atomic theory, on the full electron-shell model and was first proposed to explain the stability of certain metal-atom clusters yielding the magic number series 2, 8, 18, 34, 58, etc. by shell closing of the superatom orbitals 1S, 1P, 1D. The divide and protect theory says that gold atoms in thiolate-protected gold clusters are split into two groups: one that forms the metallic core and one that helps to protect the metallic core. These concepts are consistent with the recent ground-breaking experimental studies involving the first crystallization and X-ray structure determination of a thiolate-protected Au$_{102}$ cluster, presented by Jadzinsky et al. (2007). The X-ray structure study revealed that pairs of ‘thiolate’ groups extracted gold atoms from the gold cluster surface layer, thus forming a linear thiolate–gold–thiolate bridge while interacting weakly with the metal surface below, and thus confirmed the theory of the protecting gold–thiolate layer. A detailed analysis of the experimentally determined atomic structure of Au$_{102}$($p$-MBA)$_{44}$ (MBA, mercaptobenzoic acid) combined with the full-density functional treatment of the electronic structure, recently performed by Walter et al. (2008), yielded a detailed description of the observed structural motifs and the underlying reasons for the thermodynamic stability of this compound. Along with these findings, the atomic structure of this cluster can be described as an Au$_{79}$ metallic core, displaying approximately D$_{5h}$ symmetry and a protective oligomeric gold–thiolate layer consisting of Au$_{23}$($p$-MBA)$_{44}$ (figure 5).

Thus the gold atoms in the cluster are in two distinct chemical states: the 79 Au atoms of the gold core are in the metallic (charge neutral) state and the 23 Au atoms that belong to the protecting RS(AuSR)$_x$ oligomeric units are oxidized.

The calculated HOMO–LUMO gap of approximately 0.5 eV is significant, and it indicates an atypical electronic stability of the compound. Besides the Au$_{102}$ cluster, Walter et al. (2008) also investigated the electronic structures for the following cluster: Au$_{39}$($PR_3$)$_{14}X_6^-$, Au$_{11}$($PR_3$)$_5X_3$ and Au$_{13}$($PR_3$)$_{10}X_2^{3+}$. Based on these investigations, a tool is provided to predict the structures of other stable gold cluster compositions, e.g. Au$_{144}$(SR)$_{60}$ (Lopez-Acevedo et al. 2009).

3. AuNPs as building blocks for nanoelectronics

With modern microelectronics, transistors and other microelectronic devices get smaller and smaller. Along with miniaturization, distances between transistors and related switching elements on a chip get shorter and quantum effects become relevant. Today’s nanolithographic fabrication techniques allow scaling down to 50nm or below (Okazaki & Moers 2005; Li et al. 2009). This has already made a great impact on the performance of traditional semiconductor circuits, and it opens up new opportunities utilizing quantum effects. Following the utilization of charging effects, the so-called Coulomb effects, in metallic circuits comprising tunnel junctions with submicron sizes, allow us to handle individual charge carriers. This field has been named single electronics (SE) (Grabert 1991).
SE itself was developed in the late 1980s when intense experimental and theoretical studies on ultra-small metal–insulator–metal sandwich structures (tunnel junctions) and simple systems including these were performed (Likharev 1987, 1988). SE relies on the discreteness of the electric charge, and the tunnelling of electrons in a system of such junctions can be affected by Coulomb interaction of electrons, which can be varied by an externally applied voltage or by injected charges. As the continuous miniaturization in microelectronics reaches its physical limits, new concepts are required to achieve component sizes of tens of nanometres or less, or, ideally, the molecular level. Thus, the idea of utilizing the principle of SE for the development of logic and memory cells, which in principle could lead to the construction of a computer working on single electrons, realizing a ‘single-electron logic’, has triggered intense research activities related to SET phenomena.

Theoretical and experimental investigations discussed so far have proved that it is possible to observe SET on individual ligand-protected metal nanoparticles (Simon et al. 1993a,b; Schmid et al. 2006; Koplin & Simon 2007). Generally, the set-up to probe the tunnelling characteristics of metal nanoparticles involves electrochemical methods, nanometre-separated electrodes (nanogaps) and scanning tunnelling microscopes (STMs). In the following, we
will briefly summarize the basic principles of SET and present selected examples involving STMs and the nanogap set-up with respect to electrical addressing and device formation. Concerning the electrochemical properties, which also reflect Coulomb charging effects, we refer to recent reviews (Laaksonen et al. 2008; Zabet-Khosousi & Dhirani 2008), since a detailed description would far exceed the scope of this review. It should just briefly be mentioned that multiple charging becomes feasible in an electrochemical environment (Mertens et al. 2009), which could be relevant for multistate logic (Albrecht et al. 2007).

(a) The working principle of single-electron devices

In the following section, we give a brief description of the working principle of SE-based elements. In a macroscopic metallic conductor, electrical current is based on the motion of a huge amount of free electrons through the entire conductor. Despite the discrete nature of the charge carriers, the current flow in a metal is quasi-continuous. In contrast, in an isolated nanoscaled piece of metal (the so-called metal nano-islands), the number of electrons becomes countable. An electrical circuit of such nano-islands should present a number of reservoirs for free metallic electrons, which are separated by poorly permeable tunnelling barriers. In such a configuration, handling of individual charges becomes possible, if the characteristic electric capacitance $C$ of the island is small enough, i.e. the charging energy is large enough to overcome thermal fluctuations. Under these conditions, such a small and defined number of excess electrons on islands change their distribution over the islands in time in a desirable way. This is the concept of single charge storage (SCS) and the respective electronic transport process is called SET.

Experimental observation depends on two principal prerequisites that need to be satisfied.

— The separating insulating barriers should be much higher than the resistance quantum, $R_q = h/e^2 = 25.8 \, \text{k}\!\Omega$.
— The energy associated with charging by one extra, i.e. the charging energy $E_C$, exceeds the characteristic thermal energy $k_B T$. $E_C$ depends on the charge $Q$, on the size of the island, and the charge of capacitances of junctions, gates, conductors, etc. in the vicinity of the island. The smaller the island the smaller the capacitance and larger the $E_C$ as well as the temperature, at which single-electron charging can be observed experimentally.

(b) The single-electron box

The simplest storage device is the single-electron box for injected/ejected electrons, in which the numbers of electrons in a quantum dot (island) are controlled externally (Waser 2005) (figure 6).

Such an element can be implemented into a charge-state logic, which is based on bistable or even multistable configurations, if more than one charge is deposited on the metallic island. In such a configuration, one electron represents one bit, and it can be transferred from one island to the next. Owing to the small number of charge carriers, power consumption of such a device is extremely small, which may be advantageous concerning the minimization of power loss
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and heat development. However, the unavoidable drawback of SET logic devices is actually the large output impedance, which makes the elements intrinsically slow. Furthermore, such elements are extremely sensitive towards background charges. Thus, the application of SET elements will apparently be restricted to charge sensing and memory elements.

\( (c) \) The single-electron transistor

A switching device, capable of ultra-large-scale integrated circuits, comprises only one Coulomb island with two leads (electrodes) and a capacitively coupled gate electrode attached to it. Such a device may work as a simple on/off switch, and it is often called the single-electron transistor, in which the applied gate voltage \( U_G \) serves as a current control.

It has been discussed that such elements may be used in devices with non-volatile memory function as well as in SET/CMOS hybrid circuits, working even at room temperature (Uchida et al. 2002). In principle, such transistor elements can be fabricated with different techniques, ranging from silicon-based technology up to chemical SA. However, owing to the minute size, the highest integration rate might be expected from chemically based concepts, where metal or semiconductor nanoparticles assembled at nanocontacts form simple or complex elements with single or multiple SET functions.

\( (d) \) SET on individual AuNPs

As mentioned earlier, set-ups to probe the tunnelling characteristics of metal nanoparticles involve nanometre-separated electrodes (nanogaps) and STMs. STM measurements are based on the principle that, when a sharp metallic tip approaches a non-isolating sample, a tunnelling current can be detected. The tunnelling current depends exponentially on the tip-to-sample distance. This is the basis for imaging the topography of samples. To determine the \( I-V \) characteristics, STS investigations can be performed. Thereby, usually, the tip-to-sample distance is fixed and, depending on the tip-to-sample bias, the tunnelling current, differential conductance and so on are measured. The obtained spectroscopic data provide information about local electronic characteristics of the sample, such as density of states (Zabet-Khosousi & Dhirani 2008). One advantage of STM/STS measurements is that the individual cluster can be topographically and spectroscopically analysed in one single experiment, which ensures reliable data.
The experimental set-up to image and investigate ligand-protected nanoparticles by STM and STS, respectively, is depicted in figure 7. The conditions to observe single-electron transitions are $e^2/2C \gg k_B T$, where $C = \varepsilon \varepsilon_0 A/d$ is the capacity of the tunnel contact, in which $\varepsilon$ is the dielectric constant, $\varepsilon_0$ the electric field constant, $A$ the surface of the electrode and $d$ the distance of the electrodes.

Chi et al. (1998) investigated Au$_{55}$ monolayers on various substrates by this method. The monolayers were obtained by a two-step self-assembly (SA) process and a combined Langmuir–Blodgett/SA process. The STS measurements of these monolayers revealed clear evidence of a Coulomb blockade originating from the double barrier at the ligand-stabilized cluster as the central electrode up to room temperature.

By fitting the data obtained experimentally at 90 K, a capacity of $3.9 \times 10^{-19}$ F for the cluster could be calculated (figure 8). This value is in good agreement with the value of the microscopic capacity determined earlier by temperature-dependent impedance measurements (Schön & Simon 1995a).

Low-temperature tunnelling spectroscopic investigations on individual Au$_{55}$ clusters under ultra-high vacuum conditions at 7 K revealed clear Coulomb blockade effects in accordance with the above-mentioned results (Zhang et al. 2003). In the Coulomb blockade regime, the conductivity appears to be largely suppressed, but it is not zero, which is attributed to a certain probability of cotunnelling within the Coulomb gap at 7 K. At this temperature, thermal motion is sufficiently reduced and the molecular structure of the ligand shell becomes partly visible in the STM-resolved topography. The STM image obtained fits fairly well to the proposed space-filling model of the cluster (figure 9).

In figure 9, the two locations at which tunnelling spectra have been recorded are indicated as ‘a’ and ‘b’. The location indicated as ‘a’ is just above a C$_6$H$_5$ ring of the PPh$_3$ ligand, whereas ‘b’ is next to the ring. The resulting tunnelling spectra are depicted in figure 10. The conductivity peaks precisely coincide for both spectra, which shows that the discrete energy levels of the cluster become visible in terms of conductivity oscillations with an average level spacing of 135 meV.
Figure 8. SET on a single ligand-stabilized \( \text{Au}_{55} \) cluster at 90K. The junction capacity was calculated to be \( 3 \times 10^{-19} \text{F} \) by fitting. Continuous line denotes fit; dotted line denotes data. Reproduced with permission from Chi et al. (1998). Copyright © Springer Science+Business Media.

Figure 9. (a) STM image of a single \( \text{Au}_{55}[\text{P(C}_6\text{H}_5)_3]\text{Cl}_6 \) cluster on an Au(111) surface at 7K, obtained at a bias of 2V and a current of 100pA using a Pt–Ir tip. Image size: 3.3 × 2.9nm. (b) Space filling model of the cluster compound: cuboctahedral core with 55 Au atoms (yellow), 12 \( \text{P(C}_6\text{H}_5)_3 \) molecules (with P pink, C grey and H blue) bound to the 12 edges of the cuboctahedron and six Cl atoms (violet) located in the centre of the six square faces of the Au core. Comparison between ‘a’ and ‘b’ shows that the STM resolves the \( \text{C}_6\text{H}_5 \) rings. Spectroscopic data were acquired at the two distinct locations marked in (a). Reprinted with permission from Zhang et al. (2003). Copyright © 2003 American Chemical Society.

With respect to the utilization of these effects by incorporating nanoparticles into single-electron devices, three key aspects have to be taken into account: reliable contact formation of long-term stability, the nanoparticle size and the nature of the ligand shell. The nature of the ligand shell influences the current
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flow through the substrate/ligand/nanoparticle structures, displaying a double-barrier tunnel junction, as the ‘transparency’ of the ligand shell is dependent on the ‘thickness’, the composition and possible charge states. Thus, the current flow through such a device is sensitive to any charges and impurities that reside on the nanoparticles or in the ligand shell.

One example in this context is the recently published work of Xu & Chen (2009) on STM/STS investigations of various hexanethiolate-protected (3.2–6.3 and 11.8 nm) AuNPs concerning the change in the tunnelling characteristics upon exposure of the nanoparticle/SAM/gold structure to organic vapours. The organic vapour molecules penetrate the nanoparticle/SAM interface and thus modify the tunnel junction. For the particles with a diameter of around 6 nm, these investigations revealed a drastic enlargement of the Coulomb gap in the $I$–$V$ characteristics with increasing vapour concentration and decreasing vapour relative polarity.

This sensitivity of the ligand shell towards ‘impurities’ opens up the possibility of chemically controlling the ‘transparency’ of the ligand shell with respect to manipulating the SET current. This fact leads to the concept of chemical gating.

One example in this context was presented by Gittins et al. (2000), who reported on STM/STS investigations of ligand-stabilized AuNPs (6 nm), whereby the ligand shell consists of viologene derivatives. Viologene is a redox active molecule. It was found that, by incorporating electrons into the redox-active viologene group under electrochemical control, the transparency of the insulating barriers (the ligand shell) could be modified, i.e. it was found that reduction of $V^{2+}$ to the radical $V^{+}$ led to a significant decrease in the barrier height, whereas further reduction to $V^0$ resulted in a very large increase in the barrier height. These results show that switching of the SET current through a ligand-stabilized nanoparticle can be induced by electron injection into a specific redox group within the barriers of the tunnel junction.

**Figure 10.** Tunnelling spectra acquired at the two distinct locations marked in figure 9a. The dashed curve was taken right above the C$_6$H$_5$ ring and the solid ones next to the ring. The bias refers to the substrate potential. The arrows indicate conductivity peaks, which precisely coincide for both spectra. Reprinted with permission from Zhang et al. (2003). Copyright © 2003 American Chemical Society.
Similar to the above-mentioned work, Brousseau et al. (1998) provided an electrochemical gating approach to control the charge transport through the tunnel junction substrate/ligand/nanoparticle by utilizing pH-dependent changes of galvinol, which upon increasing the pH is converted to galvinoxide anion, and thus making the ligand shell negatively charged. Additionally, Albrecht et al. (2007) reported on the fact that dielectric saturation effects in the immediate surroundings of the charged nanoparticles also influence the observed tunnelling characteristics.

Although the above-mentioned examples demonstrate that, in principle, the STM set-up can be used to electrically address and control the $I-V$ characteristics of individual nanoparticles by electrochemical means, this set-up is limited with respect to device fabrications. The alternative approach is to utilize nanometre-spaced electrodes, the so-called nanogaps. In such a set-up, control of the tunnelling current can be achieved by introducing a third electrode as a gate and by applying a gate voltage. Nevertheless, such a set-up is accompanied by a huge number of technical difficulties. One challenge is to fabricate such small structures that allow contact between individual metal nanoparticles with sizes of a few nanometres. Furthermore, owing to the fabrication process including the nanogap formation itself and the immobilization of individual metal nanoparticles into the gap, the device-to-device variations are large and require statistical analysis of a large number of samples. Moreover, details on the contact between the electrode and the nanoparticle are still less characterized. However, nowadays, electron-beam lithographic techniques allow already nanogap configurations with gap separations of approximately 10–100 nm. Furthermore, gaps of less than 10 nm can be created by, for example, mechanical breaking or electromigration (Zabet-Khosousi & Dhirani 2008). This means, in principle, that addressing of individual AuNPs is achievable, although, nevertheless, the number and the orientation/topologies of AuNPs in such a nanogap are still hard to control.

One early example that demonstrates this principle for electrical addressing of individual ligand-stabilized nanoparticles immobilized within a nanogap was given by Klein et al. (1996). In a sophisticated approach, they combined optical lithography and angle evaporation techniques to produce narrow gaps of a few nanometres. The immobilization of AuNPs (5.8 nm) between the gold leads in the nanogap was achieved by chemically controlled assembly from solution upon utilizing the bifunctional linker hexane-1,6-dithiol, which forms stable thiolate/gold bonds. The resulting device revealed weak current steps in the $I(V)$ characteristic at 77 K. By fitting to the classical Coulomb blockade models, a junction capacity of $2.1 \times 10^{-18}$ F could be calculated. With respect to the production of an SET transistor in a further development, a gate electrode was introduced to externally control the current flow. The basic working principle of such a device was proved by immobilization of Au and CdSe nanoparticles (Klein et al. 1997). Nevertheless, the difficulty in the fabrication process becomes clear upon looking at the success rate. For example, for leads with less than 20 nm spacing and in the case of AuNPs, three of 50 devices showed the characteristic Coulomb blockade. However, in contrast to STM-based set-ups, these devices turned out to be relatively stable under ambient conditions for a few weeks.
Schmid and Dekker used electrostatic trapping (ET) for the controlled deposition of individual palladium nanoparticles in a 4 nm nanogap (figure 11) (Bezryadin et al. 1997). ET is based on the attraction of a polarized metal nanoparticle to the strongest point in an electric field, which is applied between two electrodes.

Nanogap sizes of 4 nm were achieved as follows. In the first step electrodes were produced by thermal growth on silicon. In the second step, a 60 nm SiN film was deposited on top of these structures and in the following step a 100 nm slit in the SiN film with 20 nm spacing was opened by electron beam lithography utilizing a poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA) shadow mask technique. Etching of these obtained structures with hydrogen fluoride led to free-standing SiN ‘fingers’ and, finally, sputtering with Pt resulted in gap sizes of around 4 nm (figure 12).

The characteristic $I(V)$ curves obtained by this set-up are shown in figure 13. The most pronounced feature is the Coulomb blockade characteristic at a voltage of approximately 55 mV and a temperature of 4.2 K, which disappears at 295 K.
Figure 13. Current–voltage curves measured at 4.2 K (open squares) and at 295 K (solid squares). The solid curves denote fits of theoretical calculations according to the model proposed by Korotkov & Nazarov (1991). Fitting parameters for these curves are $V_c = 55 \text{mV}$, $R_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{11} \Omega$, $q_0 = 0.15e$ (offset charge) and $a = E_c/h = 0.5$. The dashed curve ($\alpha = 0$) represents the conventional model that assumes a voltage-independent tunnel barrier. Reprinted with permission from Bezryadin et al. (1997). Copyright © 1997 American Institute of Physics.

Furthermore, the $I(V)$ curve above the gap increases exponentially instead of linearly. The latter fact was explained by the suppression of the effective tunnel barrier by the applied voltage.

The above-mentioned early examples demonstrate that, in principle, the nanogap set-up can be used for the fabrication of stable SET transistors based on a single nanoparticle, but the effort required to create such small nanogaps that satisfy the requirements for small metal nanoparticles is huge, especially for devices working at ambient temperature, as this requires nanoparticles of sizes below 2 nm.

In this context, we proposed a concept that would display one first step in the line of device fabrication and would circumvent at least some of the above-mentioned difficulties: a cross-bar system consisting of conducting wires of 2.5 nm in diameter, arranging, for example, Au$_{55}$ clusters strictly one dimensionally and having a switch between each cross-point. This would allow us to electrically address each individual Au$_{55}$ cluster. The intended arrangement is depicted in figure 14.

Along with the fabrication of such a structure, a multilayer system of epitaxially grown alternating GaAs and AlAs layers has already been developed (Schmid et al. 2008). This multilayer system was partly oxidized by H$_2$O$_2$, followed by an etching process with citric acid and repeated oxidation. The successful generation of the 20 nm Al$_2$O$_3$ bar system has been demonstrated by atomic force microscope (AFM) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) measurements. Shadow mask evaporation of gold finally gives conductive bars that were decorated with Au$_{55}$ clusters via the bifunctional linker molecule 1,4-benzenedithiol. The presence of the clusters has been proved by electrical
measurements before and after the decoration. The cluster-protected bars showed a drastically increased resistivity. Furthermore, it has already been demonstrated that 2.5 nm Al$_2$O$_3$ bars can also be generated by the same technique. Although the deposition of gold clusters on the 2.5 nm bars has not yet been performed, the above-mentioned results are very promising with respect to successful further development.

(e) Strategies for nanoparticle assembly

The results of early investigations related to the formation of SET transistors built up from individual nanoparticles are promising, but with respect to the formation of devices such as nanoswitches or SET transistors working under ambient conditions, the effort for the fabrication of such devices is huge. Approaches that can overcome at least the lithographic limitations use assemblies of nanoparticles. However, a further key aspect comes into focus: in order to fabricate reliable and reproducible devices, the assembly of nanoparticles, as well as the immobilization progress, needs to be highly controllable. In this context, many protocols for the three-, two- and one-dimensional organization of nanoparticle arrangements have already been reported (Murray et al. 1993, 1995; Schön & Simon 1995b; Alivisatos 1996; Whetten et al. 1996; Collier et al. 1997, 1998; Kieley et al. 1998; Simon et al. 1998; Talets et al. 1999; Sun et al. 2000; Torma et al. 2003). Three-dimensional arrangements have been reported intensively, since the first investigations of SET effects in assemblies refer to pellets (Houbertz et al. 1994; Simon 1998) and networks (Schmid & Simon 2005). Generally, three-dimensional arrangements are principally based on the utilization of bifunctional linker molecules interconnecting the nanoparticles. Approaches towards two-dimensional arrangements mainly refer to the basic principles presented by Janes et al. (1995), whereby a strictly two-dimensional arrangement is achieved in a two-step procedure involving the deposition of nanoparticles from a colloidal solution in the first step, followed by an addition.
of bifunctional molecules that are capable of interconnecting the nanoparticles within the monolayer, as in the three-dimensional case. In the following, we will focus on recently presented promising new ideas for the one- and two-dimensional organization with respect to applications in nanoelectronics.

(i) One-dimensional assemblies

Sato et al. (1997) first presented a single-electron transistor using an ordered one-dimensional array consisting of two to four AuNPs. They bridged a 30 nm gap formed by electron beam lithography with AuNPs of sizes around 10 nm. The method to achieve this was described as follows. In the first step, citrate-stabilized AuNPs are immobilized on previously aminosilanized gap structures to form a submonolayer caused by binding of the amino group to the gold surface. In the second step, this submonolayer is treated with 1,6-hexanediithiol that replaces the citrate ligand on the AuNP surfaces and leads to thiol-terminated AuNPs. In the third step, further immobilization of citrate-stabilized gold nanoparticles leads to the formation of nanoparticle chains. The chains consist mostly of two to four nanoparticles (figure 15). The bifunctional dithiol linker acts thereby as a defined spacer between the nanoparticles and the particle–electrode connection and provides the tunnel barriers. From device to device, the number of bridging nanoparticles and the location of these chains varied, but, nevertheless, the observed electrical conduction through these devices showed clear Coulomb staircases and periodic conductance oscillations in dependence of the gate voltage, proving that the desired function of the single-electron transistor had been achieved.

Since this proof of concept, many attempts to achieve one-dimensional arrays immobilized within nanogaps have been performed. For example, Lee et al. (2005) selectively assembled 10 nm thiol-modified single-stranded DNA nanoparticles
into nanogaps utilizing the DNA hybridization scheme; Bates et al. (2006) used Mg$^{2+}$-mediated RNA–RNA loop–receptor interaction; Weiss et al. (2006) reported on the lithographic contacting of previously SA 50 nm nanoparticles; and Coskun et al. (2008) applied pre-structured substrate surfaces to achieve strictly one-dimensional arrangements of 13 and 50 nm sized AuNPs.

Although all these attempts, in principle, prove the concept of utilizing one-dimensional assemblies in SE, they all worked with larger particles and Coulomb charging effects were observed only in the low temperature regime. With respect to potential devices working at room temperature or at least at elevated temperatures, smaller clusters have to be considered for reasons mentioned before.

One example in this context is the formation of one-dimensional cluster chains consisting of Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ by ET, presented by Schmid et al. (2001). Au$_{55}$(PPh$_3$)$_{12}$Cl$_6$ clusters were trapped in a three-tungsten electrode configuration on an SiO$_2$ surface with a 30 nm gap leading to a quasi one-dimensional arrangement (figure 16a,b; Schmid et al. 2001). Current–voltage measurements of these quantum dot wires at room temperature showed equivalent Coulomb blockades in the region between $-0.5$ and $0.5$ V (figure 16c).

Although this method displays a proof of concept, this procedure only leads to quasi-one-dimensional structures and the process of chain formation is poorly controllable, and the assembly and electrical addressing of strictly one-dimensional assemblies of small AuNPs (less than 2 nm) still remain a great challenge. In the following, we will concentrate on approaches concerning a highly controllable formation of strictly one-dimensional cluster arrangements.

Liu et al. (2002) utilized chemical modification of an alkyl-terminated SA monolayer. The terminal CH$_3$ groups were converted into COOH functions by a metallized AFM tip, inducing electric pulses. The COOH groups were further functionalized by SH groups that provide strongly binding anchor groups for the chemically induced immobilization of Au$_{55}$ clusters by substitution of the PPh$_3$ molecules (figure 17). As an example of the success of this concept, an AFM image of two one-dimensional cluster rows, intentionally interrupted to deposit individual clusters, is illustrated in figure 18. In principle, depending on the software of the AFM, any kind of pattern can be drawn using this technique.

Further attempts use DNA as a template for a strictly and highly controllable one-dimensional assembly of AuNPs. Utilizing DNA as a template is attractive owing to the fact that the extraordinary self-recognition properties, and the possibility of directing the sequence by modern synthetic methods enables the template to be programmed to a high degree. This concept has already been applied in many approaches ranging from electrostatic interactions to full metallization (Alivisatos et al. 1996; Loweth et al. 1999a,b; Niemeyer 2001a; Xiao et al. 2002; Warner & Hutchison 2003; Le et al. 2004; Li et al. 2004; Woehrle et al. 2004; Braun et al. 2005; Deng et al. 2005a,b; Niemeyer & Simon 2005; Noyong et al. 2007a; Fischler et al. 2007, 2009).

Very recently, we developed a procedure that exploits the Cu(I)-catalysed Huisgen cycloaddition (‘click’ reaction) between azide and alkyne groups for the immobilization of small metal nanoparticles along artificial DNA strands (Fischler et al. 2008). Artificial DNA duplexes modified with alkyne functionalized thymine and cytosine derivatives were synthesized by PCR (Gierlich et al. 2007; Rozkiewicz et al. 2007). Binding to the DNA was achieved by ‘clicking’ azide-terminated Au nanoparticles of diameters between 1 and 4 nm to the DNA.
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duplexes. Detailed TEM and AFM (figure 19a,b) studies proved that the one-dimensional nanoparticle arrays obtained consist of uniform nanoparticles with regular particle distance, which is presumably caused by the space requirement of the ligand shell surrounding the particles (figure 19c).

Although electrical characterization of these structures has not yet been successful, this approach is very promising with respect to highly controllable one-dimensional assemblies.

In additional investigations, we could demonstrate that the formation of such one-dimensional arrays is restricted to the utilization of preformed nanoparticles. It could be shown that controlled reduction of Ag⁺ ions in solution, localized at aldehyde groups of artificial DNA duplexes carrying aldehydes instead of alkynes, is possible (Wirges et al. 2008). The redox reaction between the aldehyde groups and the Ag⁺ ions resulted in the formation of silver seeds assembled along the
DNA strands and one aldehyde group reduces two silver ions resulting in the formation of a Ag$_2$ cluster, whereas a dialdehyde group forms Ag$_4$ clusters. This cluster formation was studied in detail by UV–Vis and HR-STEM measurements. UV–Vis investigations of the cluster formation process comparing monoaldehyde- and dialdehyde-functionalized DNA duplexes, as depicted in figure 20, revealed that the formation of larger clusters is fourfold more efficient along the dialdehyde strands.
Figure 19. (a) Scheme of the incorporation of artificial DNA bases into the DNA strand by PCR, followed by attachment of azide-terminated nanoparticles to the strand via a Cu(I)-catalysed click reaction. (b) TEM micrograph of the particles aligned in regular distance on the template. (c) The interparticle distance is determined by the space requirement of the nanoparticles’ ligand shell, i.e. approximately 1.4 nm for the glutathione bisazide in fully extended conformation. Reproduced with permission from Fischler et al. (2008). Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry. Scale bar, (b) 20 nm; (c) approximately 3 nm.

STEM analysis of the monoaldehyde-modified DNA (figure 21c) showed the presence of large amounts of small Ag particles formed after treatment with Ag$^+$ ions (1.2 ± 0.5 nm). Chain-like arrangements of larger silver nanoparticles were also detected, but they were rare and the particle distribution along the chains was rather irregular. The treatment of dialdehyde-modified DNA with Ag$^+$ ions yielded more chain-like formations (figure 21d). A rough estimation of the number of Ag atoms from TEM images indicates that the reduced silver is apparently in excess to the amount of DNA-bound aldehyde groups. Thus, it can be assumed that the initially formed silver nuclei (Ag$_4$) grow by an auto-catalytic reduction process. The obtained structure could be further metallized in subsequent metal development step by treatment with Tollén’s solution, leading to full metallized DNA strands.

Although these concepts were originally developed with the aim of producing conducting nanowires upon metallization of the obtained DNA–nanoparticle assemblies, these approaches bear a promising idea with respect to the formation...
of highly controllable electrical addressing of strictly one-dimensional arrays comprising small metal nanoparticles. Preparing DNA templates that comprise both aldehyde and alkyne groups would allow one-dimensional gold cluster formation and formation of conducting to be combined, thus leading to an orthogonal approach for electrical addressing of one-dimensional assemblies.

Contact between nanoparticles or nanoparticle assemblies could be performed by a technique developed in our group for the precise in situ addressing of low-dimensional nanostructures involving a nanomanipulator system incorporated in the chamber of an SEM (Noyong et al. 2007b). Along with this, we recently published investigations on one-dimensional arrays of 44 nm AuNPs applying the nanomanipulator set-up (Blech et al. 2008). The nanomanipulators consist of metallized tips that can be individually addressed under simultaneous observation in the SEM (figure 22). The investigated one-dimensional arrays were prepared as follows. In the first step, PMMA-coated Si wafers were structured by extreme-ultraviolet interference lithography. Following this, the formed grooves were filled with the AuNPs by dip coating the substrates into a nanoparticle suspension. Figure 23 displays the corresponding SEM pictures of the contacting process.
In order to estimate the influence of the ligand shell on the conduction process, these structures were treated with ozone to produce ligand-free nanoparticles. From the $I-V$ characteristics (figure 23) measured by this set-up, the resistance of an individual particle before and after the ozone treatment could be calculated. The removal of the insulating ligand shell by ozone cleaning resulted in higher conductivity and lower resistance per particle. The results are in good agreement with the data obtained by the previously mentioned, lithographically fabricated structures (Cui et al. 2004; Weiss et al. 2006) and therefore indicate that this measurement set-up can be used for the routine addressing of structures in the sub-10 nm range.

(ii) Two-dimensional assemblies

As mentioned before, two-dimensional assembly strategies are mainly based on the method reported by Janes et al. (1995). Similar to this approach, Liao et al. (2006) presented a procedure that exploits microcontact
in situ ligand exchange was performed by the addition of a bifunctional OPE (dithiolated oligo(phenylene ethynylene)) in order to interconnect the nanoparticles within the two-dimensional arrangement. The electrical characteristics of the obtained structures were determined by depositing contact pads on top of the monolayers, whereby a TEM grid acts as a shadow mask (figure 24a). The electrical characterizations were performed at ambient temperature and revealed a linear characteristic.

In order to compare the resistances at different stages of the exchange experiment, sheet resistances were calculated. For the first step in which the particles are stabilized by octanethiol molecules and interlinked owing

Figure 22. (a–f) Corresponding SEM pictures of the electrical transport measurements along decreasing tip-to-tip distance.

Figure 23. (a) I–V characteristics of as-prepared AuNP chains with different numbers of particles and a reference measurement on the PMMA surface. (b) I–V characteristics of O3-cleaned samples. Reprinted with permission from Blech et al. (2008). Copyright © American Scientific Publishers, www.aspbs.com.
to van der Waals interaction between penetrating alkane chains (figure 24b, curve 1), a sheet resistance of $4.4 \times 10^{10} \, \Omega$ was calculated. After ligand exchange versus OPE, the sheet resistance was decreased by more than two orders of magnitude ($R_s = 6.3 \times 10^{10} \, \Omega$; figure 24b, curve 2). Most interestingly, the electrical transport measurements revealed that the ligand exchange and the process of interlinking by OPE are reversible (figure 24b, curves 3 and 4). Thus, this example represents an interesting approach towards chemically triggered switching.

A similar approach was recently reported by van der Molen et al. (2009). Two-dimensional lattices of 10 nm octanethiolate-protected AuNPs were produced in the same manner as described earlier, followed by a ligand exchange reaction to replace the octanethiol ligand versus rigid bifunctional dithiolated photochromic diarelethene molecules, thus leading to interconnection of the nanoparticles within the array (figure 25). For the electrical characterization of the arrays, two gold electrodes were evaporated on each array (figure 25c).
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Upon illumination with UV light at ambient temperature, the diarylethene molecules switch from the conducting closed state ('on' state, figure 25a) to the non-conducting open state ('off' state, figure 25a), which is reflected by a rapid decrease in the conductance measurements. It was demonstrated that four conductance switching cycles can be performed before the switching molecules decomposed. The decay in the switching amplitude was explained by the fact that, at every switching process, the number of switching molecules decreased. This example represents a further interesting approach towards photochemically induced switching.

Another approach leading to densely packed, electrically conducting monolayers without the need to interconnect the nanoparticles utilizes the unique DNA Watson–Crick base pairing principle and was developed by our group (Niemeyer et al. 2001, 2003; Zou et al. 2005; Koplin et al. 2006). The substrate surface is first functionalized with a dendritic hyper-branched poly(amideamine), which after activation with disuccinimidyl glutarate as the linker reagent allows the covalent attachment of 5′-aminofunctionalized DNA oligomers. Owing to specific Watson–Crick base pairing, AuNPs, functionalized with complementary oligonucleotides, are immobilized on substrate surfaces (figure 26). By using this system, particle density on the substrate surfaces up to ≥850 particles μm$^{-2}$ could be achieved, as derived from AFM studies (figure 27). The arrays were characterized by $I–V$ measurements and temperature-dependent IS. The electrical features of these layers showed pronounced field dependence as well as thermal activation of the conductivity, reflecting classical hopping transport (figure 28).

4. AuNPs in biological environments

The view on ‘AuNPs in biological environments’ involves the in vitro as well as in vivo applications of individual AuNPs or of AuNPs/biomolecule hybrid structures. In vitro applications for sensing and imaging purposes can be envisaged (Rosi & Mirkin 2005; Murphy et al. 2008; Jumar Ahirawal & Mitra 2009; Lin et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2009), whereas the in vivo aspect is related to
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therapeutic purposes such as drug delivery (Han et al. 2007b), gene regulation (Rosi et al. 2006; Seferos et al. 2007) and cytostatics (Panyala et al. 2009). Recent reviews provide an extended overview on this field of research (Jain et al. 2008;
Figure 27. AFM image of a densely packed monolayer of 15 nm spherical AuNPs applying the DNA hybridization scheme as described earlier. The inset shows the topography of the control experiment with unmodified substrate surfaces.

Figure 28. Arrhenius plots of samples prepared by specific (triangles) and non-specific (dots) immobilization. Inset: corresponding $I(V)$ curves for specific (grey curve) and non-specific (black curve) immobilization. Reproduced with permission from Koplin et al. (2006). Copyright © The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Murphy et al. 2008; Sperling et al. 2008; Wilson 2008). AuNPs of a few nanometres are of the same size range as proteins, enzymes and DNA and therefore are suitable for direct interactions with typical cellular subunits or proteins, thus enabling the preparation of novel biomolecule–nanoparticle hybrid materials. Furthermore, the SPR of AuNPs greater than 5 nm seems to be a sensory tool leading to a wide range of applications in biodiagnostics.
Many of the potential *in vitro* applications of AuNPs functionalized with biomolecules rely on directed assembly. Especially the combination of DNA and AuNPs is of high relevance. DNA embodies a relatively high physico-chemical stability, and the specificity of Watson–Crick base pairing allows programmable assembly with defined spacer lengths. The multitude of binding sites at the DNA bases and the charged backbone offers many opportunities for a selective modification of the DNA with AuNPs. Furthermore, progress in DNA synthesis enables preparing and programming of artificial DNA fragments of predefined length and composition. The concept of utilizing DNA as a template for the creation of novel materials from AuNPs was introduced about a decade ago (Alivisatos *et al.* 1996; Mirkin *et al.* 1996; Mucic *et al.* 1998; Niemeyer *et al.* 1998; Mirkin 2000; Niemeyer 2001b; Fischler & Simon 2009).

*In vivo* applications lead to a fundamental question of whether there is any toxicological impact of AuNPs on cells. As mentioned before, many studies on AuNP cytotoxicity are inconsistent so far. Depending on the size and the shape of the particles as well as the nature of the ligand shell, the binding strength of the ligand to the gold surface and the functionalities in the outer sphere (figure 29) seem to play a critical role in the cellular uptake and in possible intracellular modifications and thus on cytotoxicity (Jahnen-Dechent & Simon 2008).

At this point, it is not possible to give a generalized statement about the toxicity of AuNPs. Systematic studies are under way, but they require a huge research effort owing to the large number of different control parameters (figure 29) playing a critical role in the complex interplay between nanoparticles and cells (Murphy *et al.* 2008). However, once the questions related to toxicity are answered, the path to producing highly specific drugs as well as versatile tools utilizing the unique chemical and physical properties of AuNPs, for example switching on and off distinct biomolecular pathways or selectively interacting with cellular subunits, will become clearer.
Commonly, particles greater than 10 nm are referred to as non-toxic, mostly independent of the specific ligand molecules. However, it has been reported that cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-stabilized gold nanorods (around $65 \times 11$ nm) are toxic to HeLa cells (Niidome et al. 2006). However, the toxic effect within these particles refers probably more to the toxicity of the stabilizing ligand itself, as the gold nanorods are stabilized by a non-covalently adsorbed bilayer of CTAB, which may desorb upon entering the cells (Connor et al. 2005). This fact already indicates that, despite the toxicity of the nanoparticle itself, the toxic properties depend on the binding strength of the ligand to the gold surface and have to be considered when looking for possible adverse effects.

In contrast to the larger nanoparticles, AuNPs of sizes below 2 nm showed unexpectedly high cytotoxicities in different cell lines. For example, systematic investigations of water-soluble AuNPs stabilized by TPP derivatives in the size range of 0.8–15 nm in four cell lines (HeLa cervix carcinoma epithelial cells (HeLa), SK-Mel-28 melanoma cells (SK-Mel-28), L929 mouse fibroblasts (L929) and mouse monocyte/macrophage cells (J774A1)), representing major functional cell types with barrier and phagocyte function, revealed that the small gold particles of size 1.4 nm show high toxicity comparable to that of the cytostatic drug cisplatinum (Pan et al. 2007). In the same set of measurements, the gold particles of 15 nm and Tauredon (gold thiomalate) were shown to be non-toxic at up to 60-fold and 100-fold higher concentrations, respectively (figure 30).

Furthermore, these investigations revealed that the small AuNPs of size 1.4 nm cause predominantly rapid cell death by necrosis within 12 h, whereas closely related 1.2 nm particles cause predominantly programmed cell death by apoptosis (figure 31).

In our recent studies focusing on the major cell death pathways, it was found that the cytotoxicity of 1.4 nm AuNPs was accompanied by oxidative stress, which causes mitochondrial permeability transition and triggers cell death by necrosis, and that this effect critically depends on the ligand chemistry (Pan et al. 2009).

In order to answer the question of whether the induced oxidative stress is an effect that results from reactive oxygen species (ROS) evolved directly from the AuNPs themselves or whether ROS production occurred secondary to AuNP cell incorporation and interaction with intracellular target molecules, a series of tests on HeLa cells applying antioxidizing compounds, either in a treatment before addition of the toxic triphenylphosphinemonosulphonate (TPPMS)-stabilized 1.4 nm AuNPs or by treating the cells with a combination of both AuNPs and antioxidizing species, were performed. Figure 32 summarizes the results obtained. The introduced antioxidizing species were N-acetylcysteine (NAC), glutathione (GSH), TPPMS and ascorbic acid. It became apparent that pre-treatment of the HeLa cells with the antioxidizing agents NAC, GSH, TPPMS and without washing in-between cluster addition (column D, figure 32) has a strong influence on cell survival. In contrast, ascorbic acid did not show any effect on cell survival for the entire duration of the experiments.

From this, it is suggested that either the thiol moiety or the presence of excess ligand is the reason for the reduced cell toxicity rather than the antioxidizing character of the added compounds, or that the creation of ROS directly evolving from the original reactivity of the AuNP causes the observed
cell toxicities. This latter suggestion is strongly supported by the fact that AuNPs themselves, in general, create ROS from dioxygen owing to their high surface/volume ratio (Nel et al. 2006) and that the closely related 1.4 nm TPP-stabilized gold clusters selectively catalyse the oxidation with O\textsubscript{2}, both in the gas
phase (Turner et al. 2008) and in solution (Ionita et al. 2007). Furthermore, Au$_{55}$ clusters reveal a remarkable stability towards oxidation (Boyen et al. 2002), which is most likely because of their closed-shell structure, a property that indicates that this cluster is an effective oxidation catalyst (Pina et al. 2008). Additionally, within the previously mentioned investigations on the selective oxidizing effect of Au$_{55}$, it became apparent that thiol-stabilized AuNPs were inactive in this context, which is most likely due to the strong thiolate–gold bond between the ligand and the nanoparticle’s surface (Ionita et al. 2007). This result may further explain why upon pre-treatment of the cells with the thiol containing NAC and GSH before incubation with the nanoparticles the toxicity is reduced, i.e. due to partial ligand exchange during endocytosis resulting in the formation of strong ligand–nanoparticle bonds. Along with this, the reduced toxicity, which was observed in the presence of excess ligand (TPPMS), is a consequence of preventing ligand exchange by interacting the gold cluster core with intracellular biological compounds.
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Figure 32. NAC, GSH and TPPMS but not ascorbic acid can partially inhibit the cytotoxicity of 100 μM Au1.4MS. (A) Untreated cells. (B) Cells treated with Au1.4MS for 48 h. (C) Cells pre-treated with reducing agent for 3 h, washed and post-treated with Au1.4MS for 48 h. (D) Au1.4MS pre-treated with reducing agent for 3 h, mixture added to cells for 48 h. (E) Cells pre-treated with reducing agent for 3 h, then added Au1.4MS and incubated for 48 h. (F) Reducing agent mixed with Au1.4MS and mixture immediately added to cells and incubated for 48 h. (G) Cells incubated with reducing agent for 48 h. In all cases $n = 3$; $p < 0.001$ for B/D, B/E, B/F comparisons determined by ANOVA. Reproduced with permission from Pan et al. (2009). Copyright © 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.
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Such interactions of TPP-stabilized \( \text{Au}_{55} \) clusters with intracellularly present biological species such as DNA have already been investigated. The treatment of natural B-DNA with \( \text{Au}_{55} \) clusters resulted in cluster decorated DNA fragments, as visible by AFM measurements (figure 33; Liu et al. 2003).

From molecular modelling calculations, it was deduced that the nanoparticles bind tightly to the major groove of B-DNA, which is probably due to two reasons: firstly, the size of the major grooves (figure 34), which is known from X-ray diffraction data, fits very well with the particle’s size, and, secondly, the surface charge of the cluster, resulting in strong electrostatic interactions with the negatively charged phosphates of the DNA and the particle’s surface.

These investigations, together with the experimental findings that approximately 20 per cent of the \( \text{Au}_{55} \) clusters taken up by the cells (Tsoli et al. 2005) will be finally bound to DNA, suggest that the primary cellular response is reflected by oxidative stress, followed by subsequent inhibition of DNA transcription and replication.

Furthermore, nanoparticles, in general, can stimulate the clustering of low-affinity ligands on nanoparticle scaffolds, thereby enhancing biological signalling (Jiang et al. 2008). The matching cellular structure may be lipid rafts on cell membranes containing receptor and transducer assemblies called signalosomes or the assembly of a low-affinity monovalent interaction that becomes a high-affinity multivalent interaction.

Altogether, these examples reveal the multitude of influencing parameters that have to be considered when thinking of an \( \text{in vivo} \) application of AuNPs: the size, the shape, the ligand composition involving the ligand to surface bond strength and the functionalities in the outer ligand shell and, lastly, the interaction with intracellular components along with the endocytosis.

So far, we have learnt that larger ligand-stabilized AuNPs and small thiol-stabilized gold clusters show low toxicity, which means, with view to medical applications, that these nanoparticles may be suitable for labelling, imaging and sensing applications. In contrast, small particles stabilized with weak coordinating ligands may have the potential to compete with established cytostatic drugs such as cisplatinum, whereby the advantage of the nanoparticle-based system...
would provide chemists with huge possibilities to control the surface chemistry, which may even lead to higher specificity based on biomolecular recognition of specific cells. So, once the above-mentioned parameters are fully understood and controllable, this knowledge could be used to create new materials for specific drugs. But this is still a great challenge.

(b) AuNP assemblies as sensors and actuators for biomolecular reactions

Based on the fact that larger nanoparticles (greater than 10nm) exhibit low toxicity in combination with the typical optical properties, new ideas have been developed for the utilization of large AuNPs for sensing and actuator purposes in biomolecular reactions.

One key control parameter for chemical reactions is the temperature. In applications in which a very local control of reactions is required, e.g. such as in molecular SA processes or lab-on-a-chip applications, it is desirable to have a fast and precise tool for manipulating the temperature at micrometre or even nanometre scales, with the presumption that the surrounding temperature should remain unaffected. Related to this task, one approach is to utilize large AuNPs as antennae for localized energy transfer.
Hamad-Schifferli et al. (2002) first investigated the energy transfer on AuNP–DNA conjugates. They utilized 1.4 nm AuNPs to trigger the dehybridization of a DNA hairpin structure. The principle is based on the fact that, upon inductive coupling with a radio-frequency magnetic field, the AuNP heats up and induces melting of the covalently attached hairpin DNA structure. Most recently, we have demonstrated the laser-induced photothermal melting of AuNP–DNA networks in solution (Reismann et al. 2008). In this experiment, the DNA dehybridization in the networks was induced by laser excitation of the surface plasmon of the AuNPs, which was converted into heat and consequently led to a heat transfer from the particles’ surface into the covalently attached DNA. The photothermally
induced melting process was followed spectroscopically by means of UV–Vis. The set-up of the experiment is shown in figure 35a. It is worth noting that this process is locally confined to the dimensions of the laser beam, i.e. the illuminated volume, leaving the environment unaffected (figure 35b) and thus giving the prospect of a future application in targeted drug delivery systems, if the utilized laser wavelength (near infrared) lies in the optically transparent window of tissue.

A further approach based on the same principle was presented by Stehr et al. (2008), who investigated the melting of DNA–nanoparticle networks on a microsecond time scale by means of 300 ns laser pulses (figure 35c). The melting transition of such ‘gold nanostoves’ could be used to distinguish between perfectly matching double strands and those with a single base pair mismatch in an extremely short time window, as shown in figure 35d. Compared with the previous methods, the advantage of this method is the acceleration, i.e. the short read out time of less than a millisecond and the circumvention of heating ramps as in conventional DNA melting assays.

The photothermal melting of DNA networks can also be regarded as the first approach towards remote-controlled activation of biomolecular reactions, such as the enzymatic conversion of molecules. In this context, our group very recently demonstrated that the activity of horseradish peroxidase (HRP), bound to the surface of 15 nm AuNPs (figure 36), can be controlled through laser irradiation at a wavelength of 532 nm (Bretschneider et al. 2009).

The irradiation results in the deactivation of the enzyme, whose rate increases with increasing laser power. This deactivation can be observed in situ by UV–Vis spectroscopy.

This is an example of a photothermally controlled bioreaction that involves enzymatic conversion on the surface of AuNPs. Based on these results, one can envision photothermal control in more complex reaction systems even in cells or tissues, where photothermal treatment might allow them to temporally and spatially manipulate a particular species in the mixture.
5. Conclusion

In summary, we have collected some recent examples of research on AuNPs, which are directed towards applications in nanoelectronics, biology or medicine. While most of the size-dependent properties of AuNPs have repeatedly been treated in previous reviews or book chapters, this review should shed light on very recent progress in this field, whereby we put emphasis on our own work. It would by far exceed the frame of such a short review article to reflect the current state of knowledge in toto; thus, our aim was to present a collection of examples, which, in our opinion, brought something new into the field.

In nanoelectronic applications, it is still a great challenge to assemble AuNPs into highly ordered and defect-free arrangements, which would allow precise control of sequential tunnelling or SCS. As illustrated by the examples chosen here, we believe that applications in a technical device require convergence of molecular assembly and nanoelectrode fabrication. This can be achieved only by interdisciplinary efforts from chemistry and electrical engineering, but it is worth trying because SE based on chemically tailored nanoparticles might have the chance to become a reliable technology in the post-CMOS area.

In biomedical applications, the novel concepts of size-dependent toxicity, selection of reaction pathways in cell toxicity as well as approaches towards remote control of biomolecular reactions on the surface of AuNPs may pave the way to new fields in nanomedicine. The vision of selective drugs, e.g. for cancer therapy or the controlled intracellular release of drugs, DNA or RNA is becoming clearer and may fuel a growing field of research, the progress of which will critically depend on interdisciplinary research efforts, jointly driven by experts from chemistry, physics, biology and medicine.
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